Center of Syracuse University, showed the results of attitudinal tests given to all of the Upward Bound students in 21 target programs. The tests were given twice, during the first week of the summer program and during the last week. The 21 target programs were chosen as a group which were representative of all of the programs.

B. Report to the Director of Upward Bound: Program for Pre-College Centers: Evaluation of the 1965 and 1966 Upward Bound Programs of the Pre-College Centers located at Dillard, Fisk, Howard, Morehouse, Texas Southern, and Webster Universities. This report followed the progress of both the original 1965 Upward Bound students and those selected in 1966 at the six colleges which used materials developed by Educational Services, Incorporated. The original group consisted of students who had graduated from high school in June of 1965. Many of the 1966 summer group entered college last Fall.

C. National and Regional Profile of Programs, Students, Staff and Advisory Committee. This was a compilation made by the consultant agency for Upward Bound, Educational Projects, Inc. (EPI) based on a Summer Summary Questionnaire sent to all fiscal year 1966 Upward Bound Projects, in June 1966.

D. National and Regional Profile of Student Retention and Attrition, Causes of Attrition, Follow-up Program Plans, and Staff Medical Examination and Treatment Costs, Student Stipend Distribution. This was a compilation made by EPI based on the 1966–1967 Academic Summary Questionnaire #1 which was sent to all Funded 1967 Upward Bound projects early last Fall.

E. Careful in depth site visits for each program are on file for both the summer phase and the academic year phase. The reports are written by persons who have had prior knowledge of OEO and pre-college programs.

IV. Data on 1966–67 Upward Bound Program

Profile of Upward Bound Programs

1. Total number of Upward Bound projects	220
2. Total number of students	20,139
3. Total community action 205 funds in fiscal 1966	$25,949,165
4. Federal cost per program	$117,096.00
5. Federal cost per student funded	$1,243.06
6. Range of Federal grants:	
(*a*) Smallest (Princeton)	$14,550.00
(*b*) Largest (Texas Southern)	$410,872.00
7. Average enrollment per program	88.22 students
8. Range of enrollment:	
(*a*) Smallest (Princeton had 60—we financed 12)	12
(*b*) Largest (Texas Southern)	337
9. Location of programs:	
(*a*) In public institutions of higher education	114
(*b*) In private institutions of higher education	96
(*c*) In public secondary schools (BIA school in Alaska)	1
(*d*) In private secondary schools	9

PROFILE OF 20,000 UPWARD BOUND STUDENTS

A large percentage of the 20,000 high school students enrolled in Upward Bound in the summer of 1966 come from families *below* OEO's minimum poverty level; and are severely handicapped culturally when compared with the U.S. high school population.

Indications of the level of deprivation of the more than 20,000 students enrolled in Upward Bound in the summer of 1966 are:

Mean family income, $3,501.86 (OEO considers $4,000 a poverty level for family of 6).

Size of family, 53% have 6 or more members in a family.

Parental guidance, 55% of students were living with only one parent (30% living with mother) or with no parent.

Comparison with a national high school sample graphically points up the deprivation of Upward Bound students: