

It is true you can't get funds from Delaware for a program in Florida but, if you are cooperating with institutions in Delaware, what is to prevent your cooperation when you secure funds from your State and they get funds from their State?

Dr. GOERKE. Only that it comes up through channels and the agreement is not there now.

Mr. QUIE. Who brings agreement about? Does the Commissioner come to you and say here is a program I like and you people should cooperate in it?

Dr. GOERKE. I think it would be based on need primarily. I think they certainly see need on some occasions.

Mr. QUIE. If this new section 707 was adopted, who would actually be doing the cooperating? Would you be working with an institution of higher learning in another State or would the Commissioner come to you and say, here is a program I think we ought to get into.

Dr. GOERKE. I actually see both.

Mr. PITCHELL. I think both would be possible and I call your attention again to the first paragraph on page 4 in which Dr. Goerke mentioned that the proposition we think holds here is that the possibility of innovative experimental programs being approved is in inverse ratio to the number of layers of bureaucracy and the size of the committees through which approval must be obtained.

What we are saying here is it is not impossible but you have increasing difficulties as you have to go through advisory committees to do this and then have State agencies review it again.

Many of the people on these committees are sensitive about experimental programs in sensitive areas, say areas such as Watts, for example. It took a major riot there before someone had the courage to do a project there and they finally have accepted one from the University of Southern California, which is right on the border.

Mr. QUIE. We didn't have this act in operation when the first Watts riot occurred.

Mr. PITCHELL. That is true but there were not many projects in any of the Federal programs in that area because of sensitivity of people in that area.

Mr. QUIE. I think you should use an example where you were unable to have a program under this particular act, not an area before this program came into operation.

Dr. GOERKE. This is a problem in itself. We just had applications in 1967 submitted in Florida from 38 institutions, roughly \$1,038,000. These go through a committee constituted of people from the public and private sector, health, you name it. If you, as an institution of higher education, were to start a program you want to operate broadly, your chances are pretty slim of even getting a program through under the amount of money now available.

Mr. QUIE. You think going to the Commissioner would be easier?

Dr. GOERKE. I think it would allow institutions cooperating together to have the opportunity if they come up with something.

Mr. QUIE. You haven't come up with anything definite as to how this could possibly be easier through the Commissioner.

Let me go on. You raise objection to the Commissioner contracting with private profitmaking organizations but you don't seem to object