

costs in the September 29, 1965, proposed report with that of the August 1967 modified plan follows:

Purpose	Allocation of project and assigned cost	
	September 1965 proposed report	August 1967 modification
Irrigation.....	\$205,790,000	\$205,790,000
Municipal and industrial water supply.....	11,324,000	11,324,000
Fish and wildlife.....	11,066,000	11,066,000
Flood control.....	1,234,000	1,234,000
Recreation.....	2,624,000	2,624,000
Power.....	2,775,000	2,000,000
Highway betterment.....		
Total allocated.....	234,813,000	234,038,000
Deferred cost (future capacity).....	11,417,000	
Total cost.....	246,230,000	234,038,000

A tabular comparison of the annual benefits from the project follows:

Purpose	Annual benefits	
	September 1965 proposed report	August 1967 modification
Irrigation:		
Direct.....	\$11,507,700	\$11,507,700
Total.....	19,618,300	19,618,300
Municipal-industrial water supply.....	465,600	465,600
Fish and wildlife enhancement.....	435,900	435,900
Flood control.....	46,700	46,700
Recreation.....	108,400	108,400
Area redevelopment.....	218,600	218,600
Power.....	97,900	
Uncompensated adverse effects.....	-483,900	-483,900
Net benefits:		
Direct.....	12,880,800	12,782,900
Total.....	20,507,500	20,409,600
Benefit-cost ratio:		
Total.....	2.5	2.5
Direct.....	1.6	1.6

The elimination of the power benefits and reduction in the estimated costs would have no appreciable effect on the computed benefit-cost ratio for the unit (2.5 to 1.00 for total benefits and 1.6 to 1.00 for direct benefits only).

Operation, maintenance, and replacement costs would total \$1,486,400. This cost includes \$30,500 associated with specific recreation and fish and wildlife enhancement features that would be a local agency responsibility, and \$92,100 that would be a Federal nonreimbursable expenditure for recreation, fish and wildlife, and flood control. The remaining \$1,363,800 would be reimbursable.

All of the project and assigned costs allocated to irrigation would be reimbursable. Average annual payment capacity of irrigable lands in the initial stage of the Oahe unit has been estimated at \$11.40 per acre. Deduction of a contingency and incentive allowance of \$1.40 per acre results in a recommended average annual water charge of \$10.00 per acre. Further deduction of operation, maintenance, and replacement costs, estimated at \$6.80, leaves \$3.20 per acre for amortization of a part of the project and assigned costs allocated to irrigation. The remaining reimbursable costs allocated to irrigation would be repaid from power revenues of the Missouri River Basin project.

Water users and the conservancy subdistrict would repay \$33,440,000 or about 16 percent of the costs allocated to irrigation, in a 50-year period following a 10-year development period. The remaining reimbursable obligation of \$172,350,000 would be returned from revenues from commercial power sales accruing to the Missouri River Basin project. The sufficiency of Missouri River Basin project power revenues to meet the reimbursement and financial assistance obligations of the overall Missouri River Basin project was dealt with most recently