Mr. MONAGAN. Is there an indication of what amount went to AID out of the Army total of 157,996 tons? Do you have that information?

Colonel KIELY. I can give it to you directly, sir, by tonnage. I do have some figures in terms of FRELOC and the acquisition value, which is the way we account for property in PDO. During the period of August through the end of March we gave a total of $12 million in acquisition cost to the AID.

Mr. ROMNEY. Could you comment on the general condition of most of this equipment that was disposed of, Colonel?

Colonel KIELY. During the FRELOC operation we went to extensive pains to make certain that the least would get into property disposal operations. We circulated to the Navy, to the Air Force, and to the State Department so they had an opportunity to examine the property before it was put into property disposal sales through our Foreign Excess Sales Office. The State Department, for instance, appointed a respresentative who practically lived with us over a period of about 5 months. He would take the demands that would come through Embassy channels or State Department channels throughout Europe, the Middle East and Africa, and would then screen the lists that were going into property disposal and make their claims for them. AID was quite active in working directly with us. So as a result, we did not get this big flow into property disposal.

Mr. ROMNEY. Colonel, you are speaking for the Army, of course.

Mr. MONAGAN. Excuse me. I am not exactly clear what you mean by that. Do you mean because AID picked up this property that you were not compelled to sell it? Is that it?

Colonel KIELY. Yes, sir. It reduced our inventory. This leads to the second part—and I am sorry about this—that most of the things that were put into PDO were in very poor condition and a big percentage of it was reduced to scrap and sold through scrap sales.

Mr. MONAGAN. But, of course, it was disposed of, what went to AID. But you were thinking of disposal in a particular sense when you made that statement, I take it.

Colonel KIELY. AID, sir, gets only that property which becomes a candidate for property disposal sales.

Mr. MONAGAN. Yes.

Colonel KIELY. It has to have been screened through the supply and maintenance channels and any other military claimants before they have an opportunity to pick it up.

General HEISER. May I assist here? I think the definition may be causing us problems. In the logistics business in the services, when we talk of property disposal we are talking about the actual operation that Colonel Kiely is talking about. After we have gone through the supply management generation of excess, which means that the service that has it in the location in which it is found does not need it there, the item is then processed and screened for utilization within the services; then to Federal agencies outside the services. When we talk property disposal, it is only when we actually put it in our property disposal yards for sale to non-Government agencies, commercial ventures, and so forth.

Mr. ROMNEY. Just to clarify the terminology, when you refer to "excess," you, of course, do not refer to excess in terms of the statute, which defines foreign excess property as that property which is no longer needed by the holding agency?