If you want a copy of this, you are certainly welcome to it, but not for the record.

Mr. Monagan. Please furnish us a copy. Also there might be a conference between you and the staff and see whether we may want to put some of that in the record, or what disposition might be made of it.

Mr. Zaretzky. Yes, sir.

Mr. Romney. Is the Foreign Excess Sales Office still operating?

Mr. ZARETZKY. As an integral part of the MLS. They were transferred to the Military Liquidation Section. This was done on the 27th of January 1967.

Mr. Monagan. I would like to ask a few questions.

I think it is very interesting to realize that about 8.5 percent of the total property involved is what we are really talking about here, the balance being munitions and things of that sort. Is that true?

Mr. ZARETZKY. That is true.

Mr. Monagan. You speak on page 2 of a breakdown of the tonnage that was moved. Have you any estimate or do you know what the cost

of that operation has been?

Mr. ZARETZKY. We are now compiling these costs. There is a DOD directive or instruction which spells out exactly how to cost this. Our controller is now compiling these data. It will be very interesting to see what it actually did cost for all of the move, payment of people, and so on. Statt of It

Mr. Monagan. Have you any idea when that will be available?

Mr. Zaretzky. I checked. I thought you would ask this, and I checked on this Friday, but they cannot commit themselves. It ought to be soon.

Mr. Monagan. That is not surprising. Mr. ZARETZKY. It ought to be soon.

Mr. Monagan. It is a continuing operation so that I suppose you would have to pick an arbitrary time and determine that up to then.

Mr. ZARETZKY. Yes, sir, that is right.

Mr. Monagan. Naturally, not only the amount of tonnage but the cost of removal are interesting to all of us. No one questions that it has been a very difficult problem for the Department of Defense; and, so far as we know, it has been carried out effectively. We are not in a position to raise any questions about that but we do feel that a lot of these questions are pertinent for the Congress because there might be similar situations at some other time.1

You have spoken of the 100,000 tons of property that were consumed

during attrition. That is through normal use?

Mr. ZARETZKY. Yes, sir.

Mr. Monagan. Is that a reasonably normal figure, would you say? Is it higher or how does it compare with what would be the normal attrition?

General Case. Actually, there is no normal attrition in this sense. By attrition here we mean that property which we would have issued from a depot in Germany but which was issued instead from a depot in France against a requirement in Germany.

Of course, this is an abnormal thing.

General Heiser. This goes back to what I said earlier, where we had stocks in France that, except for a small amount of support of

Section of the sectio

¹ See p. 63, below.