

property as opposed to NATO-owned property? Would you agree with that?

Mr. ZARETZKY. That is right.

Mr. COPENHAVER. In that regard, there may be some NATO-financed costs for this?

Mr. MONAGAN. There is in theory, but in fact there has been a lag.

General HEISER. This is what they call "infrastructure."

Mr. COPENHAVER. That is right. Shall I go ahead?

Mr. MONAGAN. Please do.

Mr. COPENHAVER. Mr. Zaretzky, in connection with the Army you had screened all Army equipment and all GSA equipment above a certain figure, and this is property located in France. Now, at the same time I understand that over a hundred thousand tons of property located in Germany had to be made excess—was declared excess to make room for the property coming in from France. Would that be a correct statement?

Mr. ZARETZKY. I am not sure about the figure, but the philosophy is right.

Mr. COPENHAVER. Similarly, perhaps, some in the United Kingdom?

Mr. ZARETZKY. Nothing in the United Kingdom.

Mr. COPENHAVER. Was the property that was declared excess in Germany screened through the identical procedures—by the Army—as was the property in France?

Mr. ZARETZKY. That is correct.

Mr. COPENHAVER. Do you have a breakdown of that property which was declared excess? How much of that was disposed of according to different channels, for example, disposed of as surplus and picked up by AID or sent back to CONUS? Could you perhaps supply that figure for the record?

Mr. ZARETZKY. I am sure we could.

Mr. COPENHAVER. Like you have done it in your statement, with the amount, for example, disposed of as surplus and the dollar value. That would be very helpful.

Mr. ZARETZKY. It is available.

Mr. COPENHAVER. I forgot, frankly—did you say that you could have a breakdown on broad categories of the type of equipment from France disposed of as surplus? Is that possible—a broad categorical breakdown?

Mr. ZARETZKY. If you mean ammunition, for example, versus trucks and other items, I am certain that can be provided.

Mr. COPENHAVER. In that case, if you could do that for Germany, too, it would be quite helpful.

General HEISER. We will try to do this, but—you see, if we break it down we could probably break it down by class—but I would like to be sure, sir, that we work with you, because it may be difficult to break it down within the class, for example, parts away from vehicles and that sort of thing.

Mr. COPENHAVER. Actually I am just seeking a broad categorical breakdown.

In this regard, I wonder if you also could supply for the committee—with the Chairman's permission—a breakdown, shall we say, for fiscal year 1966, and maybe through January 1, 1967, or March 31—whatever you can—of the total surplus property disposed of in France and the United Kingdom, and here is what I am getting at. I want to see