Mrs. HECKLER. Is there a point of inefficiency by reason of having this depot overloaded so that perhaps you should consider another

Colonel Nelson. When you see we have to take up half the street location? and this is the only hardstand we have, you start to get inefficient. If you are running 90 to 91 percent occupancy you are inefficient because you have to handle materiel two or three times to store it or ship it out. So the answer is "Yes," we have a certain amount of inefficiency right now because of materiel stored in an inefficient configuration.

General CLAY. Another problem is the concentration.

Colonel Nelson. And your risks are quite high both from enemy loss and other losses which result from concentration.

Mrs. Heckler. Is there any alternative to it?
General CLAY. The alternative is more construction and dispersion.

We are making a study on that. Mr. Monagan. Have you made any separation of the cost of FRE-

LOC with reference to Kaiserslautern?

Colonel Nelson. Yes, sir. The FRELOC portion we have accounted for.

Mr. Manning. I think the FRELOC portion was around \$3 mil-

lion, what we considered as FRELOC.

Mr. Monagan. What did you consider as FRELOC?

Mr. Manning. What we used generally is the tonnage we received from France and then we used our cost per ton.

Mr. Monagan. Cost of what perton?

Mr. Manning. Cost of handling.

Mr. Monagan. You mean your overall cost of handling on other items as well?

Mr. Monagan. And you applied a proportionate share to this on a tonnage basis?

Mr. Manning. Yes, sir; what came out of France.

Mrs. Heckler. That had no relation to the acquisition value?

Mr. Manning. No.

Mr. Monagan. I didn't ask him the value. I asked the cost of moving

it. Does that include salaries?

Mr. Manning. Yes. The reporting, though, to higher headquarters was not done in this fashion. In other words, if you had a body on board whether it was handling FRELOC or not FRELOC we did not report that body. We only reported FRELOC when they were handling FRELOC only.

Mr. Monagan. So you may have left out a lot of bodies?

Colonel Preiffer. This \$15 per ton includes all the things. The additive cost is what Mr. Manning is talking about.

Mr. Monagan. Is the reason you did this because of the detail in-

volved in estimating it in another manner? Mr. Manning. Yes, It would be practically impossible to do it any other way because you can't say one box was FRELOC and the other box was not FRELOC. had the state of the tour (Constitution of the Constitution of the