Mrs. Heckler. Considering the contract agreement you have with 178 Mr. Adriaenssens, should the source change radically, do you have an escape clause in your contract that would allow you to be absolved of

Mr. Woll. Our legal counsel tells us we can terminate the contract your contractual obligations?

Mrs. Heckler. Is there any penalty for termination? Mr. Woll. No. But from what I have seen over the past 4 years in

Europe there is no doubt we will meet our minimum input. Mrs. Heckler. There is no doubt you will not meet it or no doubt

you will meet it?

Mr. Woll. There is no doubt we will meet it. Mrs. Heckler. Are you satisfied with the quality of the work?

Mr. Woll. We have been up to date. I have not as yet learned the origination of the various items which the GAO related to us last Saturday in Frankfurt. I don't know whether they emanated from this site or the Rota site or our stateside sites.

Mrs. Heckler. How do you determine the quality of the work? Is

Mr. Woll. No; I think really the main criterion is if we do not a spot inspection the sole method? have complaints from our recipients.

Mrs. Heckler. Do you have the names of all the recipients?

Mr. Woll. Each country, yes.

Mrs. Heckler. Each what?

Mrs. Heckler. Do you have the names of the recipients within the

Mr. Woll. Not in every instance. In some recipient countries they have an organization that acquires the property and then distributes it to others in the country. In such case we do not know the ultimate end user.

Mrs. Heckler. Do you have a list of all the recipients which is

available? Is this something you file in Washington? Mr. Woll. In Washington or in our regional excess property offices. We could get this. It would be quite a job. If you are interested in our going back to the inception of the program we can get it.

Mrs. Heckler. I am wondering how you can judge the performance

of the items if the recipients are unknown in a reference sense. Mr. Woll. The way the AID agency is constituted we only hear if an item of property is bad. The property goes in a recipient country and the AID agency in the recipient country would keep records of when the property was received, how long it was used, and the amount spent on maintenance and repair. This would not be our responsibility here the way our agency is constituted.

Mrs. Heckler. According to the GAO meeting that we had the other day, I think Mr. Moore said he could not locate 60 out of 180

Mrs. Heckler. Is there any way this procedure could be tightened so items. that a more accurate recipient identity could be established?

Mrs. Heckler. How do you suggest this be done?