

lems, connected with transferring funds from one agency to another. But basically, I, as a former program manager in ONR was quite satisfied with the transfer arrangements, and the leadership of the National Science Foundation in husbanding the programs, for which we had transferred our funds to it. Actually, NSF would provide a grant or a contract with a university or a group of universities to implement a major program.

Mr. DADDARIO. If you were to carry this suggestion through and have the National Science Foundation serve this function, how would the National Science Foundation carry out its responsibility both to survey, to control, and to make determinations about how those funds were to be spent?

Dr. GALLER. One way, Mr. Chairman, would be for the U.S. National Committee to prepare a proposal for submission to the NSF, the proposal to include a tight budget, and by a tight budget I mean a realistic budget. Then presumably the National Science Foundation would provide either a grant or a contract to the National Academy of Sciences for the support of the U.S. National Committee's program.

Now, I must hasten to add that I am not advocating this course because I have not consulted with any of my colleagues at the Academy and I have no way of knowing—

Mr. DADDARIO. Your opening paragraph frees you from any problems in this area.

Dr. GALLER. Thank you. Certainly we have entered into these kinds of relationships many, many times in the past, in which the National Academy of Sciences receives a grant or a contract. Certainly it is one of the most responsible scientific and financial organizations in the country, and indeed in the world. I would have no hesitation whatever in having full trust in the National Academy of Sciences ability to maintain the necessary review and evaluation of the National Committee financial undertakings.

Mr. DADDARIO. You indicate by that, then, that the National Science Foundation should serve a holding function so far as the funding is concerned, and that the administration, control, and support of the programs ought to be performed through the National Academy's committee.

Dr. GALLER. Yes, sir; I feel very strongly in favor of this.

Mr. DADDARIO. Your concern about the staff and your feeling that it needs to be augmented ought to be expanded. Could you tell us just what your recommendations are?

Dr. GALLER. Yes, sir.

I feel, and again harking back to the international geophysical program, there are many functions, staff functions that the Committee cannot assume. Essentially the Committee consists of scientists who take time out from their many other activities and dedicate a certain fraction of their time to the IBP, but they are not full time. The continuity must be maintained by an adequate staff who can serve in the role of research administrators, to insure that the broad policies as laid down by the U.S. National Committee are implemented. The staff must make arrangements for all of the logistic support necessary for conducting field programs. The staff can insure that there are developed adequate information exchanges. The staff can do the