

b. Inadequate U.S. support and participation would delay urgently needed world wide ecosystem research.

c. Would not greatly affect the overall prestige of U.S. science since the total U.S. effort in science is so great. Reduction or elimination of any one U.S. program would not seriously alter our prestigious position.

3. What is the estimate of the National Committee as to the amount of money needed for the U.S. in the IBP program for the next fiscal year?

Answer: Discussion in Appendix III.

Abstract: Additional funds needed for support of the U.S. program are:

	<u>1st Year in \$</u> <u>Millions</u>	<u>5 Years in \$</u> <u>Millions</u>
Approved integrated research programs	2.40	77.00
Developing integrated research programs	0	77.00
Individual Proposals	4.46	22.30
Training	4.20	21.00
NAS Contract Support	<u>.40</u>	<u>2.00</u>
Total	11.46	199.30

4. What is the view of the Interagency Coordinating Committee (ICC) concerning the probability of being able to raise the necessary funds in the manner proposed?

Answer: Discussion in Appendix IV.

Abstract: Members of the Interagency Coordinating Committee have stated that presently budgeted funds are not adequate to support the major integrated research programs of the US/IBP.

5. Concerning program models, in what respects, structurally, will the management of the IBP be similar to or different from the IGY?