As consumers who want price stability.

As wage and salary earners who have or seek jobs in an economy characterized by sustained and steady growth rather than boom and bust.

As businessmen whose lifeblood is credit and steady expand-

ing demand from confident customers.

As homebuyers and farmers to whom ever higher interest rates, tight money, and increased costs are far more cruel than taxes. As poor, elderly, or living on a fixed income to whom a spiral

of inflation is ruinous.

As fightingmen who dream of returning someday to a job, an

education, and a home.

Members of this committee share with the Secretary of the Treasury the special responsibility of seeing to it that the bills of the Government are paid—whether out of borrowed money or revenues.

I hope you will share with me the conclusion that the prompt en-

actment of the President's tax proposals is a necessary and indispensable part of a program of fiscal responsibility.

(The tables referred to follow:)

TABLE 1.-COMPARISON OF 1963-66 TAX LIABILITY AND 1967-68 TAX LIABILITY UNDER PROPOSED TAX INCREASE FOR ILLUSTRATIVE TAXPAYERS 1 (SINGLE INDIVIDUAL)

Wage income	1963 tax ²	1964 Tax Act decrease	1966 tax 2	1967 tax ²	Tax increase over 1966 tax 8	1968 tax ²	Tax increase over 1966 tax 4
\$1,000 \$1,900 \$2,000 \$3,000 \$5,000 \$7,500 \$10,000 \$12,500 \$15,000 \$20,000 \$20,000 \$35,000	\$62 224 242 427 818 1, 405 2, 096 2, 887 3, 787 5, 900 8, 324 13, 778	\$46 77 79 94 147 237 354 489 633 982 1,342 2,151	\$16 147 163 333 671 1,168 1,742 22,398 3,154 4,918 6,982 11,627	\$16 151 167 341 688 1, 197 1, 786 2, 458 3, 233 5, 041 7, 157 11, 918	\$4 4 8 17 29 44 60 79 123 175 291	\$16 162 179 366 738 1, 285 1, 916 2, 638 3, 469 5, 410 7, 680 12, 790	(°) \$15 16 33 67 117 174 240 315 492 698 1, 163

TABLE 2.—COMPARISON OF 1963-66 TAX LIABILITY AND 1967-68 TAX LIABILITY UNDER PROPOSED TAX INCREASE FOR ILLUSTRATIVE TAXPAYERS 1 (MARRIED COUPLE, NO DEPENDENTS)

Wage income	1963 tax 2	1964 Tax Act decrease	1966 tax 2	1967 tax 2	Tax increase over 1966 tax3	1968 tax 2	Tax in- créase over 1966 tax 4
\$2,000 \$3,000 \$3,600 \$5,600 \$7,500 \$10,000 \$12,500 \$15,000 \$25,000 \$25,000 \$25,000	\$122 305 413 660 1,141 1,636 2,213 2,810 4,192 5,774 9,601	\$64 101 119 159 227 294 382 475 708 978	\$58 204 294 501 914 1,342 1,831 2,335 3,484 4,796 7.997	\$58 204 301 514 937 1,376 1,877 2,393 3,571 4,916 8,197	(5) \$7 13 23 34 46 58 87 120 200	\$58 204 323 551 1,005 1,476 2,014 2,569 3,832 5,276 8,797	(*) \$29 50 91 134 183 234 348 480

<sup>Proposed tax increase of 2.5 percent of the tax in 1967 and 10 percent in 1968 which does not apply to single returns with taxable income of \$1,000 or less and joint returns with taxable income of \$2,000 or less.

Tax liability computations assume minimum standard deduction or deductions equal to 10 percent of income whichever is greater. Tax liability from optional tax table where income is under \$5,000.

Secondary 1967 tax minus 1966 tax.

There is no increase in 1967 or 1968 for a single person whose tax at 1966 rates is \$145 or less.</sup>

¹ Proposed tax increase of 2.5 percent of the tax in 1967 and 10 percent in 1968 which does not apply to single returns with taxable income of \$1,000 or less and joint returns with taxable income of \$2,000 or less.

2 Tax liability computations assume minimum standard deduction or deductions equal to 10 percent of income whichever is greater. Tax liability from optional tax table where income is under \$5,000.

2 1967 tax minus 1966 tax.

4 1968 tax minus 1966 tax.

4 1968 tax minus 1966 tax.

4 There is no increase in 1967 or 1969 for a partial contains that 1960 tax tax 1960 tax.

[•] There is no increase in 1967 or 1968 for a married couple whose tax at 1966 rates is \$290 or less.