

Mr. BROYHILL. I do believe that if we could have more evidence of the fact that the administration does intend to make cuts in many areas that we have discussed it would be a lot easier for some of us who would like to support this bill to support it and not have to allow for any liberalization of the congressional retirement system.

Now, I have one more question.

Mr. SURREY, do you know offhand or does any member of your staff know offhand whether the SNCC organization, is a tax exempt organization or tax exempt foundation?

Mr. SURREY. I do not know offhand. I would have to check that.

Mr. BROYHILL. The reason I asked the question is that there was an article in the Washington Post this morning written by Mr. Jack Nelson of the Los Angeles Times which reported that a two-page article in the latest bimonthly publication, of the SNCC organization on the Palestine problem was a condemnation of the U.S. policy. "The article accused the U.S. Government of working with Zionist groups 'to support Israel so that America may have a toehold in that strategic Middle East location, thereby helping white America to control and exploit the rich oil deposits of the Arab nations.'" The article included photographs of alleged atrocities against Arabs back in 1956 as well as the recent uprising or conflict. It was just a general article in condemnation of American policy and the country of Israel.

The program director of the Students Non-violent Coordinating Committee acknowledged that the source of some of this material was Arab embassies. I think this is a serious situation because if the organization is disseminating foreign propaganda certainly it should be required to register under the Foreign Aid Registration Act, and certainly it should not be receiving contributions which would be considered tax deductible. I would appreciate if you would find out whether they are receiving it and whether in view of this action they should continue to receive it under present law.

Mr. SURREY. I will check into that, Mr. Broyhill. (See p. 210.)

The CHAIRMAN. Mr. Conable.

Mr. CONABLE. Mr. Chairman, you have been very patient. I will be perfectly happy—

The CHAIRMAN. Let me say to you, you have been very patient.

Mr. CONABLE. I will be perfectly happy to have my questions answered for the record if the question of time is such that it should be that way.

My first question is to Dr. Ackley. Somebody told me once, I don't know who he was, but presumably he was wiser than I am, that a corporate income tax is in effect one of the hidden taxes, to a substantial extent particularly in time of cost pressure. An increased cost of doing business is implicit in an increased corporate tax. In such a situation the corporate income tax is probably passed on to the consumer. Has there been any analysis in the light of the present economic situation as to what extent the 10-percent corporate surtax will simply wind up as additional tax against the individual citizen of America, adding to the impact of the individual income tax surtax?

Mr. ACKLEY. This is a question on which economists have been long divided and on which it is extremely difficult to get evidence. There are theories of taxation which do imply that at least in the long run some part of the corporate income tax is shifted forward in the form