

In terms of 5 years our figure shows 274,000 increase in Federal employment and 500,000 increase in terms of the production, the defense industries.

Those are somewhat different from the figures you used yesterday but I will complete the record if I may with those figures on a year-by-year basis over the last 5 years.

Senator MILLER. Yes, and further, if you would, define the term "defense workers."

Secretary WIRTZ. Yes, surely. I wonder if it would be helpful to include, because it has the same relevance in the economic trends, the employment of State and local governments because there has been a much larger development in that area than there has been in the Federal Government.

Senator MILLER. I would like to see a balanced picture. I think that would be helpful and meaningful, too. I am particularly interested in the impact of Federal employment, armed services and the defense plants' increase of workers.

Secretary WIRTZ. We will supply that.

(The table which follows was supplied in answer to the previous dialog:)

Employment
[In thousands]

	1966	1965	1964	1963	1962	1961	1960
Armed Forces.....	3,390.0	2,841.0	2,726.0	1,942.0	2,764.0	2,813.0	2,530.0
Defense industries (total).....	2,127.1	1,849.3	1,670.4	1,756.9	1,794.6	1,702.2	1,617.5
Ordnance and accessories.....	268.1	233.7	225.1	266.2	271.6	252.9	235.9
Aircraft and parts.....	818.7	675.0	603.2	638.7	654.1	629.4	607.1
Ship and boat building.....	171.3	164.7	154.1	137.2	141.1	141.2	141.7
Electronic components.....	391.6	339.4	279.0	260.3	269.2	255.6	229.9
Communications equipment.....	477.4	436.5	409.0	424.5	458.6	423.1	402.9
Federal Government.....	2,769.0	2,543.0	2,483.0	2,482.0	2,492.0	2,510.0	2,506.0
State and local government.....	8,675.0	8,095.0	7,541.0	7,150.0	6,781.0	6,489.0	6,270.0

Senator MILLER. Mr. Secretary, there was quite a bit of conversation about saddling you with a child known as the Job Corps. I presume you have made some studies about the potential handling of the Job Corps if it were to be absorbed by the Labor Department. You have made some studies on that point, have you not?

Secretary WIRTZ. No, sir, I have not. It will be an unplanned parenthood, if that happens.

Senator MILLER. I wonder if you could have somebody on your staff give us a memorandum on this subject, analyzing the pluses and minuses?

Secretary WIRTZ. I should be a little hesitant, if you don't mind. That program is administered by another agency of the Government and whatever critique I might have in the form of a statement as to what would be involved—if it would be a part of the Department of Labor—would present possibilities of embarrassment. I should be glad to give you a statistical and financial reporting on it because those are matters within the purview of my responsibility as a member of the Council.

Senator MILLER. I certainly do not want to cause you any embarrassment, Mr. Secretary, and you know that.