

I share the experience with you on the committee. We have had many private visits about the possibilities for visitors. I know the man's deep feeling about this and want to commend him and say it has been an honor to serve with him.

Mr. CRAMER. I am trying to think the thing through as to who will be administering this and who will have the say-so on what kind of programs will be shown, to what extent will they be representative of the executive and legislative and possibly judicial branches of our Federal Government.

In reading the bill, I see no provision whatsoever for a continuation of any commission of any kind or any consultative group representative, in particular, of Congress.

I would like to also suggest to the chairman of the subcommittee as to what the thinking is with regard to a continuing voice by Congress in the makeup of the program itself.

I, for one, voted for this particular Union Station recommendation because we have a facility close enough to the Capitol to be of value to the Congress as well as the executive.

Mr. GRAY. I think this is a very important point and it is going to be my suggestion I would say to the gentleman from Florida we set out some guidelines calling for reports directly to Congress, and particularly this committee would be further consulted on the establishment of these satellites. And if the committee were constrained to do so, I would see no objection in amending the Public Buildings Act to where GSA and the Secretary of the Interior would submit to us a prospectus, as we do on public buildings, for any further authorizations of additional satellites.

I might say here at the Capitol the Speaker of the House and the Capitol Buildings Commission would have the authority for the establishment of adequate space without any additional legislation. The same thing would apply over in the Senate. And any satellites established elsewhere of course would require specific authorization.

Mr. CRAMER. I appreciate that response as it relates to additional facilities. What I am concerned about is a continuing voice by Congress over how this particular facility is going to be operated.

Mr. GRAY. I misunderstood the gentleman.

In that connection, I would have no objection to writing a proviso in stating that they should submit annual reports to Congress, and possibly the present 21-member Commission could be maintained for that purpose.

Mr. CRAMER. I think some consideration ought to be given to providing specifically for a continuing voice by Congress in the operation of the Center.

Mr. GRAY. I think that is a very forthright suggestion, and I would certainly entertain an amendment to the bill to that effect.

Mr. SCHWENGEL. This is a very good point the gentleman from Florida brings up, and I think the gentleman from Texas would agree. I have worked out a bill that provides an Information Center and Education Center in the Capitol that is before the House Administration Committee. In this bill we include the Members of the Congress from both sides and people who understand history and understand something about the proper interpretation of history to guide the management of the Information Center. This might be a guideline we put in