

Mr. GRAY. I think that is what the gentleman from Florida wanted to have on the record, the fact that we would get as much as we possibly could for the amount of money available and do it on a competitive bid basis.

Mr. MULLIGAN. That is correct.

I would further expect, gentlemen, that we would review the bids received with GSA, if GSA is the proper agency, and would agree with them on that to be awarded, because in this matter we are acting as the Government's agent, really, and we want to do it the way you think it should be done as far as letting construction or renovation bids is concerned.

Mr. CRAMER. The reason I raised the question is that I think it obvious that somewhere in the report or in the bill it should be provided that the contract letting and contract bidding and advertising, et cetera, ought to be handled in consultation or in cooperation with or with the advice of the GSA or the Secretary of the Interior, or what have you, to make certain there will be the lowest possible bid in order to get the maximum amount for the dollar spent.

I notice in paragraph 1 on page 1 relating to alterations of existing buildings, that the alterations to the station shall be as the Secretary of the Interior deems necessary within that \$5 million limitation, but in relation to parking facilities there is no such requirement, except that he provide parking space for approximately 4,000 vehicles.

I was wondering if there were any reason that the Secretary of the Interior was left out or GSA was left out of the determination of the nature of those facilities.

Mr. MULLIGAN. I have no knowledge on the question, sir.

Mr. CRAMER. You would not object to including a similar provision in paragraph 3?

Mr. MULLIGAN. No, sir.

Mr. GROVER. How many of these parking spaces, which you say may run between 3,000 and 4,000, would normally be taken up by the transient personnel going from trains and parking cars?

Mr. MULLIGAN. A very small percent.

Mr. GROVER. Approximately.

Mr. MULLIGAN. I would think the maximum might be 100 a day.

Mr. GROVER. A further question. Do you have a lease on the existing restaurant?

Mr. MULLIGAN. Yes, sir.

Mr. GROVER. What is the term of that lease?

Mr. MULLIGAN. It has 3 more years to go.

Mr. GROVER. Is there a renewal clause?

Mr. MULLIGAN. No.

Mr. GROVER. Would you supply to the committee information in regard to the net income to the Terminal Co. from the lease?

Mr. MULLIGAN. I can give you the total. I can give you the breakdown, also.

If you like, Mr. Chairman, I could offer for the record a list showing for the year past, 1966, the breakdown of total revenues from concessions, including the restaurant, which aggregated approximately \$375,000.

Mr. GROVER. Is that gross or net?

Mr. MULLIGAN. That would be net, sir.