

104 AID'S MISMANAGEMENT OF THE EXCESS PROPERTY PROGRAM

tion of the property, (6) concur in the allocation and reallocation of all excess property and (7) provide the USAID with general surveillance over the entire program. A man of this type would also require the following qualifications: (a) familiarity with the country, (b) with GOT requirements, (c) with the language, and (e) a proven ability of getting along with the Turks.

During my stay in Turkey, I visited the following GOT installations and viewed excess property in operation:

(1) *Men's Technical Teacher Training College, Ankara*, accompanied by the following USAID/T personnel: H. Kerwin, Chief, Education Division; K. Harris, Branch Chief, Vocational Education Branch, and A. Guidette, Excess Property Officer. During the visit, I met and discussed the program with the following Turks: A. Erkan, Director; G. Erci, Department Head, Machine Shop; H. Dogan, and one other school on a pilot basis and has agreed to use every means available to assist the Turks to obtain excess property. During the visit to this institution, I noticed the following machine tools, which were stored on a ramp outside a warehouse and were not in use:

- (1) 12B Pratt & Whitney Profiler and Milling Machine (production item).
- (1) Red Ring Gear Grinder (production item).
- (1) Fellows Model E Gear Shaper (special-production item).
- (1) Gear Grind Grinder (production item).
- (1) 61A Fellows Gear Shaper (production item).

I was not able to learn if these items had been acquired by the GOT for this institution or if they had been ordered by a Contract Team, Spring Garden Institution. Sufficient to state that they had been in country for some time and had not been utilized. I brought this matter to the attention of USAID personnel, who in turn notified OIEC/GOT, who then informed me that the property would be reallocated as quickly as possible.

(2) *Ataturk Sanitorium, Ankara*, accompanied by the following USAID/T personnel: J. Bouros, Deputy Chief, Office Commodity Import; A. Guidette, EPO, and K. Intepet, EPO (local). During the visit, I met and discussed with Mr. Cafer the use of the excess property which had been obtained by this institution. All excess property was obtained under the DA Program and totaled \$333,135 acquisition cost. \$320,569 came from Turkey and \$12,566 from Europe. The property consisted mostly of beds, medical equipment and supplies. I was very impressed with the utilization of excess by this institution. In one instance, I saw a lamp which had been modified, and was informed that this was used during operations. This, in my opinion, was a classic example of the Turks ingenuity in being able to adapt an item to a critical need. Also, Dr. Cafer informed me that the types of property received consisted, in the main, of vehicles, construction and road building equipment, material handling equipment, etc. Regarding the 608 equipment received so far, Mr. Sahinbas mentioned that there had been a few