## 134 AID'S MISMANAGEMENT OF THE EXCESS PROPERTY PROGRAM

|  |   | Lack of spare parts | Scrap | No need | Excess<br>to needs | Total |  |   |  |
|--|---|---------------------|-------|---------|--------------------|-------|--|---|--|
|  | 1 | 9                   | 50    | 58      | 8                  | 125   |  | 1 |  |

## Lack of Spare Parts

Items were placed in this category when spare parts were not available from the excess property program or from the commercial market. In our discussions with the ultimate recipients we learned that spare parts for many of the older models of equipment are becoming increasingly more difficult to obtain. Considering that some of the equipment was more than five years old when received by the recipient in 1960 and 1961, spare parts will become increasingly more difficult to obtain, with a corresponding increase in non-utilization.

## Scrap Condition

open air storage area in Haydarpasa, Tstanbuit Nkespedition when received and 1962 and have never been utilized. Topraksu officials, with whom we talked, stated that the road scrapers were much too large for their needs.

## Excess to Needs

Items were placed in this category when the recipients had identical items, of which some were being used and some were not. For example, a recipient received 20 trucks, but the workload involved could be handled by 10 trucks. Consequently, based on information provided by the recipients, we classified 10 trucks as "excess to needs".

Recommendation D-1 (Action Office—GOT Ministry of Finance, OIEC Excess Property Branch): It is recommended that OIEC acquaint all GOT officials and employees who are responsible for administration of the program with the requirement for reporting property excess to their needs.

Recommendation D-2 (Action Office—GOT Ministry of Finance, OIEC Excess Property Branch): It is recommended that OIEC take action to transfer or dispose of the excess property that is not being utilized. (Exhibit D).

Most Recipients of Section 608 Excess Property Did Not Submit Form A

(E

Finding: Sixteen of the 23 recipients of Section 608 property did not submit the Proposed Allocation of U.S. Excess Property as required by the transfer agreements. (Exhibit E)

Paragraph 1 of the agreements provide that "The recipient agrees to submit a Proposed Allocation, per Form A attached, to USAID, listing the items proposed