were unable to determine whether the property was not received or not properly accounted for. Furthermore, the recipients involved were not aware of the requirements of section 4 of the Agreements which states that "The recipient agrees to verify shipment received with covering documentation, and to report and reconcile any shortages or overages".

Recommendation F-1 (Action Office—GOT Ministry of Finance, OIEC Excess Property Branch): It is recommended that OIEC establish procedures and controls to assure submission by the recipients, and receipt by OIEC, of reports verifying receipt of shipments, including the quantity and acquisition cost of oversees and shortages.

overages and shortages.

Recommendation F-2 (Action Office—Office of Commodity Imports): It is recommended that the Office of Commodity Imports establish a reports control system to assure submission of the "Receipt of Shipment" reports, by OIEC to the USAID/T.

Recommendation F-3 (Action Office—GOT Ministry of Finance, OIEC Excess Property Branch): It is recommended that the OIEC Excess Property Branch locate and/or account for the items listed in Exhibit F and submit a report thereof to the USAID/T.

OIEC Accounting Records of Loan Funds for Section 608 Property Were Inadequate and Not Current

(G)

Finding: The Excess Property Branch of OIEC posts each Letter Order for Section 608 property to a journal. As of February 23, 1966, the last entry in the journal was dated October 22, 1965. The form of Finance, OIEC Excess Property Branch: It is recommended that the Excess Property Branch establish adequate and current accounting records for Loan Funds for Section 608 property. The records should provide for the following information:

1. Loan number and amount of funds reserved under the loan.

2. Letter Order number, date and amount of funds obligated.

3. Balance of unobligated funds under the loan.

4. Adjusting entries for amendments to the Letter Order.

The OIEC Had Not Met Its Responsibilities For Program Administration

(H)

Finding: When we examined the records and related program documents at the OIEC, and discussed our findings with OIEC officials and twenty four representatives from various GOT agencies in a general meeting on February 24, 1966 we learned that:

1. There had never been a meeting of the "American Excess Property Purchases Arrangement Committee" authorized by GOT Decree No. 5/582 to administer the program.

2. Generally, GOT officials at the operating level were unknowledgeable of the purposes and features of the excess property program and their responsibilities in connection with the program.