excess property to recipient countries tributed to recipient countries, and (4) negotiation and administration or repair contracts. in the future to furnish your Agency for its information and use

STATEMENT OF ROY ALLEN, CHIEF, TRANSPORTATION MATERIEL MOVEMENT BRANCH, TRANSPORTATION MOVEMENT MANAGEMENT DIVISION, DIRECTORATE OF TRANS-PORTATION, OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY CHIEF OF STAFF FOR LOGISTICS, DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY

Mr. Chairman and members of the committee, I am Roy Allen. It is a privilege to review with you the support given by the Department of Defense (DOD) to the Agency for International Development (AID) in connection with the movement of excess personal property under Section 608 of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961, as amended. DOD's authority for providing service to AID under this program is Section 608 of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961, as amended; Section 402 of the Federal Property and Administrative Services Act of 1949 and the Economy Act of 1932.

The Committee Chairman's letter to Secretary McNamara, dated 12 June 1967, requested the DOD to provide a witness to respond to a number of matters relative to the excess personal property program. In the course of this statement

I will address matters posed by the Committee Chairman's letter.

There have been two agreements dated 16 March 1962 and revised on 19 August 1965 applicable to the CONUS excess personal property program which were signed by DA, GSA and AID. These agreements require selected Army installations within CONUS to provide on a reimbursable basis the following services for AID:

a. Receipt.

b. Storage.

Renair and Overhaul.
statutory authority 101 however, an inadvertent subsidy was provided. This happened and interpretation of the regulation governing the application of accessorial charges to AID's Excess Property Shipments. The billing price DOD charges its cus-

220 AID'S MISMANAGEMENT OF THE EXCESS PROPERTY PROGRAM

has a higher priority in claiming excess property than your own State Surplus Property Agency. AID can therefore "skim the cream" off the excess property when the state Agency is left to screen what AID discards. State. Under existing law and regulation foreign three marks a sign chinning in obtaining excess equipment than our own cities. A summary of the facts disclosed during the Subcommittee's hearings is enclosed.

It would be most helpful if you would comment on the provisions of S. 1974,