They further state

- "(1) that the television broadcast signals and Zenith scrambling apparatus used in Hartford during the past four years were and are exactly the same as those to be used with the new Zenith decoder described in our July 25, 1966 technical submission in this proceeding; (2) that the decoding functions performed by the apparatus under test in Hartford are the same as those accomplished by the decoding apparatus described in Zenith's current technical submission; and (3) that the Hartford test of these signals and the effectiveness of Zenith's scrambling and decoding processes involved several thousands of hours of everyday transmission spread over more than four years in a field test operation involving thousands of homes. Thus the Hartford operation provided a greater quantity and quality of field testing of the proposed Zenith concept in actual commercial use than any comparable type of service has ever before had. Therefore, Motorola's conclusions with respect to field testing of the Zenith concepts are totally unfounded."
- 125. (3) The nature of the programs offered. This has been fully discussed in relation to the question of whether STV can offer a beneficial supplement of free TV programming and will not be treated here.
- 126. (6) The possible monopolistic features of STV. Zenith and Teco state that the Hartford trial has established that there are no inherent monopolistic features arising from STV operations. They do not urge that the Commission select Phonevision equipment as the only system to be used for nation-wide STV. On the contrary, they suggest that the Commission adopt general standards that will permit the use of multiple systems, and that will result in competition. They do, however, admit that it is unlikely that, as a practical matter, there will be more than one over-the-air STV system in there may be competition within a community between cable and over-the-air STV. But within a community, Phonevision could be used with color or monochrome sets, UHF or VHF, and could serve more than one station authorized by under the regulatory control of the Commission.
- 127. As to programming, they aver the following: There are already in existence numerous producers and distributors of programs of all kinds from which STV may draw. During the Hartford trial, there was no centralized distribution control over the programs chosen for STV broadcast. RKO was free to negotiate with any program supplier for whatever programs it desired on whatever terms it worked out. Ninety percent of the STV programs shown at the trial were obtained on the basis of RKO's paying the program supplier a fixed percentage of the program revenues obtained from subscribers. In a relatively small number of cases, e.g., a Broadway play, it was necessary to pay cash