

INDEX

	Page
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. HISTORY OF THIS PROCEEDING	2
III. ISSUES PRESENTED	4
A. Jurisdictional Issue	4
B. Public Interest Issues	4
<i>Jurisdictional Consideration</i>	
IV. THE COMMISSION HAS THE AUTHORITY UNDER THE COMMUNICATIONS ACT OF 1934, AS AMENDED, TO AUTHORIZE SUBSCRIPTION TELEVISION AS A "BROADCAST" SERVICE	5
<i>Public Interest Considerations</i>	
V. THE HARTFORD TRIAL HAS ESTABLISHED THAT SUBSCRIPTION TELEVISION WILL PROVIDE A BENEFICIAL SUPPLEMENT TO THE PROGRAM CHOICES NOW AVAILABLE TO THE PUBLIC ON CONVENTIONAL TELEVISION	10
A. Motion Picture Films	12
B. Sports Events	13
C. Special Entertainment Programs	15
D. Educational and Instructional Programs	16
E. Charges for Subscription Programs	18
VI. THE HARTFORD TRIAL HAS DEMONSTRATED THAT SUBSCRIPTION TELEVISION PATRONAGE IS NOT LIMITED TO HIGH-INCOME SUBSCRIBERS BUT PRIMARILY ATTRACTS MIDDLE-INCOME SUB- SCRIBERS	20