## TABLE I

Definition,	Source.
<ul> <li>Net subsidy to a family for ith produgroup</li> <li>C Value per hour of television programmer viewed as obtained from dividing the total advertising expenditures in television the aggregate number of hours viewed all families</li> <li>α<sub>1</sub> Percentage of programmes sponsored in the product group, as estimated from television advertising expenditures of each product group</li> <li>H Total number of hours of viewing by family per year. Estimated from Nielsen viewing data as follows:</li> <li>H = 1414·7 + 0·20045Y = -0·0000Y where H≥1,400 hours</li> <li>Number of hours of viewing per family sponsored by the advertisers in ith product group.</li> <li>Amount of advertising expenditures of the advertisers in ith product group. Advertising expenditures per dollar of spending for ith product group, as obtained from dividing television advertising expenditures of ith product group by consumption expenditures on that product group</li> <li>✓ Disposable personal income per family Consumer expenditures of a family for ith product group. Computed as function of income.</li> </ul>	Total television advertising expenditures, Financial Report for Television, 1963, Federal Communications Commission. The number of hours of viewing, Nielsen Television Index, January through December, 1963  Television advertising expenditures of each product group were estimated from the network billings and spot billings published by Television Bureau of Advertising  Nielsen Television Index, January through December 1963. Since viewing data are not available for the upper income groups, a lower limit has been set at 1,400 hours which is the number of hours viewed by a family with a disposable income of \$11,900  See \$\alpha_i\$ and \$H\$  Television advertising expenditures of each product group, see \$\alpha_i\$; consumption expenditures in each product group, Consumer Expenditures and Income, 1960-61, Bureau of Labor Statistics. Data for the urban families were projected to the total universe due to the unavailablity of data for rural families  Same as above The felluniar and total control of the c
1. Food 2. Automobile	$E = 219 \cdot 1 + 0.225 Y - 0.0000049 Y^{2}$ $E = 148 \cdot 1 + 0.170 Y - 0.00000205 Y^{2}$ $- 0.000000000094 Y^{2}$
Tobacco     House furnishings and equipment	E = 17.3 + 0.017Y - 0.00000052Y
5. Alcoholic beverages	$E = -14.7 + 0.0575Y - 0.00000096Y^{2}$ $E = 11.0 + 0.0129Y$
6. Clothing and related materials	$E = 422 \cdot 2 + 0.0851 \text{ Y}$
7. Household operations	F - 65.8 1 0.0256 V 1 0 000000000

Household operations  $=65.8 + 0.0356Y + 0.00000086Y^{2}$ Medical care = 82.8 + 0.051 Y- 0.00000059Y2 9. Personal care E = 58.4 + 0.049 Y10. Recreation and Transport E = -4.3 + 0.0544Y11. Others E = 359.2 + 0.147Y

And for all product groups combined the total subsidy is

$$s = C g(Y) - \sum a_i f_i Y$$
 . . . (1.21)

The level of income at which a net subsidy to a family becomes zero (the break-even point) for a given product group can be computed as follows:

$$C\{\alpha_i g(Y)\} = a_i f_i(Y)$$
 . . . . (2.11)

Since C and g(Y) are the same for all product groups, variations in the