

1. The October 2 argument concerns the Report submitted by the Commission's Subscription Television Committee (hereinafter "Committee") which recommended the institution of pay television on a permanent basis, and which suggested the rules, regulations, and conditions pursuant to which the pay television service should be authorized.¹ Petitioners respectfully submit that the Report should *not* be adopted, and that the Commission should not, and, indeed, cannot, authorize pay television on a permanent basis under its existing statutory authority.

2. Petitioners do not intend, in the instant document, to repeat all of the grounds which they believe require the Commission to decline to institute permanent pay television. Some of the arguments have already been made at length to the Commission in various papers filed by the opponents of pay television. They have also been covered in more detail in the papers previously filed by the Joint Committee Against Toll TV, to which the Commission is respectfully referred. The purpose of the instant document is to point out what Petitioners believe to be the errors in the Report of the Subscription Television Committee.

¹ The Subscription Television Committee consists of Commissioners Wadsworth, Lee and Cox. Commissioner Wadsworth, the Committee Chairman, indicated that while he agreed that the issues should be brought to the attention of the full Commission, nevertheless, he stated that his agreement to the submission of the Report should not be construed as an endorsement of it.