## AMENDMENT TO EXEMPT EMPLOYEES OF BOAT SALES ESTABLISHMENTS

## THURSDAY, OCTOBER 26, 1967

House of Representatives, GENERAL SUBCOMMITTEE ON LABOR OF THE COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION AND LABOR, Washington, D.C.

The subcommittee met at 10 a.m., pursuant to call, in room 2257, Rayburn House Office Building, Hon. John H. Dent (chairman of

the subcommittee) presiding.

Present: Representatives Dent, Daniels, Mink, and Scherle.

(Text of H.R. 13192 follows:)

[H.R. 13192, 90th Cong., first sess.]

A BILL To amend the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938 to exempt certain employees of boat sales establishments from the overtime compensation requirements of that Act

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled, That section 13(b)(10) of the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938 (29 U.S.C. 213(b)(10)) is amended by inserting "boats," immediately after "farm implements,".

Mr. Dent. We are meeting today to look into the possibility of enactment of H.R. 13192. This is a bill to amend the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938 to exempt certain employees of boat sales establishments from the overtime compensation requirements of the

It would amend section 13(b)(10) of the act and thereby exempt from overtime provisions salesmen, partsmen, and mechanics. This is in accord with the agreements made prior to the passage of the present act, because we found that it was an extreme hardship to try to tie down overtime hours and salesmen and found at the same time emergency sea servicing brought into play the same problems for the partsmen and mechanics.

However, it is late in the session and we felt if we could have a short hearing this morning, we would at least get the thoughts and argu-

ments of the industry.

At this time I would like to call the first witness, Mr. Fred Lifton, executive director of the Boating Industry Association. I am happy to say we have in attendance the representatives of the Department of Labor, who are responsible for the administration of this act. I welcome them here because before anything is done, we will have to have long and detailed discussions to see to what limits we may or may not be able to go.

(1)