TABLE 9.—NET VALUE OF MILITARY PROCUREMENT ACTIONS BY STATES,1 FISCAL YEARS 1964, 1965, 1966, AND 1967—Continued

[Dollar amounts in thousands]

State	Fiscal year 1964		Fiscal year 1965		Fiscal year 1966		Fiscal year 3967	
	Amount	Percent	Amount	Percent	Amount	Percent	Amount	Percent
Virginia Washington West Virginia Wisconsin Wyoming	\$690, 852 1, 085, 696 87, 327 177, 217 49, 408	2. 8 4. 5 . 4 . 7 . 2	\$469, 097 545, 607 90, 312 203, 003 7, 867	2. 0 2. 3 . 4 . 9	\$425, 487 444, 368 149, 300 364, 684 11, 112	1. 3 1. 4 . 5 1. 1	\$665, 376 606, 114 141, 736 383, 602 32, 868	1. 8 1. 6 . 4 1. 0

I Notes on coverage: It is emphasized that data on prime contracts by State do not provide any direct indication as to the State in which the actual production work is done. For the majority of contracts with manufacturers, the data reflect the location of the plant where the product will be finally processed and assembled. If processing or assembly is to be performed in more than 1 plant of a prime contractor, the location shown is the plant where the largest dollar amount of work will take place. Construction contracts are shown for the State where the construction is to be performed. For purchases from wholesale or other distribution firms, the location is the address of the contractor's place of business. For service contracts, the location is generally the place where the service is performed, but for transportation and communications services the home office address is frequently used.

More important is the fact that the reports refer to prime contracts only, and cannot in any way reflect the distribution of the very substantial amount of material and component fabrication and other subcontract work that may be done outside the State where final assembly or delivery takes place.

The report includes definitive contracts, and funded portions of letter contracts and letters of intent, job orders, task orders, and purchases orders on industrial firms, and also includes interdepartmental purchases, made from or through other governmental agencies, such as those made through the General Services Administration. The State data include upward or downward revisions and adjustments of \$10,000 or more, such as cancellations, price changes, supplemental agreements, amendments, etc.

The estimated amounts of indefinite delivery, open end, or call-type contracts for petroleum contracts, the report does not include indefinite delivery, open end, or call-type contracts as such but does include specific purchase or delivery orders of \$10,000 or more which are placed against these contracts. Also excluded from the report

TABLE 9-A.—NET VALUE OF MILITARY PROCUREMENT BY STATES, BY PERCENT OF TOTAL, FISCAL YEAR 1967

Inverse rank	State	Percent	Total	Inverse rank	State	Percent	Total
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	South Dakota	(t) (t) (t) 0.1 1.1 2.2 2.2 2.2 2.3 3.3 3.3 3.3 3.3 4.4 4.4	0.1 .23 .33 .7 .9 1.1 1.3 1.6 1.9 2.2 2.5 2.8 3.1 3.5 3.9 4.3	27 28 29 30 31 33 33 34 35 36 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47	Alabama District of Columbia Wisconsin. Kansas North Carolina Tennessee Washington Minnesota Louisiana Virginia Florida Maryland Indiana Michigan Illinois Georgia New Jersey Massachusetts Ohio Pennsylvania Connecticut	0. 8 1. 0 1. 0 1. 1 1. 2 1. 4 1. 6 1. 7 1. 8 1. 8 1. 8 2. 1 2. 3 2. 4 2. 8 3. 1 3. 3 3. 4 4. 4	8. : 9: 10. : 11. & 11.
22 23 24 25	South Carolina Rhode Island Colorado Arizona	.5.5.6	5. 3 5. 8 6. 4 7. 1	48 49 50 51	Missouri New York TexasCalifornia	5. 2 6. 1 8. 7 9. 5 17. 9	63. 9 72. 6 82. 1

¹ Less than 0.05 percent.