

commercial activity may be authorized if a comparative cost analysis prepared as provided in this circular indicates that the Government can provide or is providing a product or service at a cost lower than if the product or service were obtained from commercial sources.

However, disadvantages of starting or continuing Government activities must be carefully weighed. Government ownership and operation of facilities usually involve removal or withholding of property from tax rolls, reduction of revenues from income and other taxes, and diversion of management attention from the Government's primary program objectives. Losses also may occur due to such factors as obsolescence of plant and equipment and unanticipated reductions in the Government's requirements for a product or service. Government commercial activities should not be started or continued for reasons involving comparative costs unless savings are sufficient to justify the assumption of these and similar risks and uncertainties.

6. Cost comparisons

A decision to rely upon a Government activity for reasons involving relative costs must be supported by a comparative cost analysis which will disclose as accurately as possible the difference between the cost which the Government is incurring or will incur under each alternative.

Commercial sources should be relied upon without incurring the delay and expense of conducting cost comparison studies for products or services estimated to cost the Government less than \$50,000 per year. However, if there is reason to believe that inadequate competition or other factors are causing commercial prices to be unreasonable, a cost comparison study will be directed by the agency head or by his designee even if it is estimated that the Government will spend less than \$50,000 per year for the product or service. A Government activity should not be authorized on the basis of such a comparison study, however, unless reasonable efforts to obtain satisfactory prices from existing commercial sources or to develop other commercial sources are unsuccessful.

Cost comparison studies also should be made before deciding to rely upon a commercial source when terms of contracts will cause the Government to finance directly or indirectly more than \$50,000 for cost of facilities and equipment to be constructed to Government specifications. Cost comparison studies should also be made in other cases if there is reason to believe that savings can be realized by the Government providing for its own needs. Such studies will not be made, however, if in-house provision of the product or service, or commercial procurement thereof, is clearly justified in accordance with other provisions of this circular.

The determination as to whether to purchase or to lease equipment or to construct buildings or acquire their use under lease-construction arrangements involves a determination of the difference in costs under the alternatives, and the principles set forth in this circular should be applied to the extent relevant in making such determinations.

(a.) Costs of obtaining products or services from commercial sources should include amounts paid directly to suppliers, transportation charges, and expenses of preparing bid invitations, evaluating bids, and negotiating, awarding, and managing contracts. Costs of materials furnished by the Government to contractors, appropriate charges for Government-owned equipment and facilities used by contractors and