Senator Morse. Senator Hatfield.

Senator Hatfield. Gentlemen, I do not think it is necessary to reiterate in great detail the fact that over a number of years I have shown a great deal of interest in port development and port facilities, the development of the river section in the Port of Portland I think has become recognized as a great port and industrial area of the Pacific coast, the long-term port commission study that we organized and set up to make plans for long-range development of our ports in Oregon. All of these things I think should indicate to you my deep and long interest in port programs.

I do not think that here on this committee, or really for that matter those who have testified thus far before the committee, do any of us feel that this is a matter of either being for the lumber industry or for the maritime ports, but rather that we are seeking through information and data to resolve the basic problem with the lumber industry relating to log exports, and not to the detriment of port or maritime interests. Our desire—as I know Senator Morse has expressed many times, and I also—is to resolve this in such a way that everyone is helped where there is need and no one is hurt. All these interests must be considered. We are not here to set up any kind of program which is going to help one at the expense or the hurt of someone else.

That is why on November 27 when we held a session here in Washington, I posed as merely points of discussion and possibly points for departure for further discussion, four suggestions which were developed and organized under this basic philosophy which I have just expressed, of recognition first of all of the problem that the lumber industry faces today, and second, to try to incorporate within some sort of approach an understanding of all the interests that are involved, not just one but all interests.

At that time, Mr. Chairman, you had indicated the other day that you would like to make this a part of the record, and I am honored to accede to your request. I felt this might be the appropriate place

to do so.

Senator Morse. I am glad to have it. (The document referred to follows:)

RECOMMENDATIONS OF SENATOR MARK HATFIELD FOR COMPROMISE SETTLEMENT OF PROBLEMS ARISING FROM LOG EXPORTS TO JAPAN

1. That the annual volume of log exports from Washington and Oregon to Japan be restricted to the 1966 level of log exports (1.1 billion feet) under a

system administered by the United States Government.

2. That for every additional 1,000 board feet of export logs purchased by the Japanese in Washington and Oregon over the 1.1 billion foot restriction, the foreign buyer be required to purchase an equivalent amount in manufactured lumber of plywood from within the same state in which this additional log supply originates.

3. That together the Congressional delegates of Washington and Oregon execute a program whereby the federal agencies controlling government timberlands in *all* western states will be required to place on the market each year for open sale, including export to Japan, those portions of their sustained yield annual allowable cuts which were not offered or were unsold in the preceding year.

4. That together the Congressional delegates of Washington and Oregon, the two states now most adversely affected by log exports to Japan, set and transmit to the State Department a specific time limit in which to conclude a practicable voluntary agreement on log exports to Japan prior to the initiation of new legislation to provide the curbs considered necessary.