

Senator MORSE. If I can be your witness for a moment, you state that in July 1961, WFIA and representatives met with me not only in Portland, but I think the record will show that you conferred with me subsequently here in Washington, in regard to our growing concern about the export of raw logs to Japan. But my files will show, and I can make digests of them available, that your concern was somewhat before July 1961. Your statement of course doesn't, and there is no reason why it should, give a summary of what the delegation sought to do about it. I think that in fairness to the other Members of the delegation, not only Oregon but Washington, that you might file a supplement to your statement, without violating any matters of privilege, giving a summary of what conferences and correspondence you had with the other Senator from Oregon and the member of the Oregon House delegation, and also the State of Washington.

It is my recollection, and I think my files will show it, that you laid this problem also, because it is a mutuality of effect on two States, before the Senators from Washington, the Members of the Washington delegation. I believe we in turn owe it to you to supply for the record more appropriately I think after the administration witnesses testify tomorrow, the summary of the representations you made to all Members of the delegations, and of what their responses to the same were.

In fact, I think this is a good place, Mr. Counsel, for the Chair to suggest that after the administration witnesses testify tomorrow, the committee should have prepared a series of assignments by way of asking for memorandums on some of the major points that have been raised in these hearings, including some of the very important points raised by Mr. McCracken. This witness draws very clearly some issues that the administration needs to make a record on. However, the committee reserves the right, if necessary, to have a day or two of hearings after January 30 if these issues are not clarified—I hope it will not be necessary but I am not going to leave the record with any point dangling that hasn't been answered or an opportunity given to answer it. This would provide for a final statement of the questions on which the parties are on contrary sides and the committee believes to be of such major importance that we need to have final statements from the proponents and opponents before we go into our own executive session.

Mr. McCracken, I think you ought to make available for the committee a digest, I am not asking for all of the correspondence, but perhaps a digest of what your association did in its communications and representations to other members of the two delegations. The summary that I will put in will show the raising of the issues with the Oregon delegation, resulting in our raising the issues with the departments, and the responses that have been made.

I am glad that you have again raised the question of what needs to be done by way of reforestation, thinning, access roads, and the other matters that we have worked with BLM and the Forest Service on over the years. And may I say with your splendid cooperation, as far as giving us data and evidence as to the desirability of programs, that we will continue to work for the items that we would like to get into the budget for those two departments.

The difficulty has been that they have their superiors in the administrative branch of the Government, and they must comply with their