

instructions. We haven't received from the Bureau of the Budget over these years the support that we need for the financing. We have done pretty well, as the material I shall file finally for the record will indicate. We have done pretty well in getting the Congress in some instances to appropriate funds that did not have the support of the Bureau of the Budget, which always of course speaks for the White House. That has been true in both Democratic and Republican administrations.

It is no surprise to you gentlemen, but I think it will be of interest to people who don't know what we have accomplished, for example, in the field of access roads. When I think of how few access roads there were only a short time ago. You referred to 1961. You might go back 10 years or 12 years. We have been successful in my judgment because associations in the lumber industry have been very helpful to us in developing the statistics which support the need for these funds.

It will be very interesting, in view of the needs that you pointed out, for us to hear from the administration how we are going to even begin to fulfil those needs with the newspaper-announced \$21.5 million cut out of the forestry budget. We haven't heard yet what Interior is going to cut, but we shall be enlightened tomorrow.

Of course, I will be in there doing everything I can, as will our delegation, to try to get funds in excess of what the administration through the Bureau of the Budget may suggest are going to be necessary cuts. You have heard me say many times and, I suspect too many, that a government just can't justify a pennywise and pound foolish policy in protecting the reserves of the American people in their publicly owned timberlands.

I have found your statement exceedingly helpful in raising and discussing the issues that are before the committee, and I shall await with great interest the administration's reply to your major premises.

I say to my colleagues I am sorry that I took this long, but in view of the fact that Mr. McCracken in his conclusion referred to my conference with the association, I wanted the record to show that I had those conferences in my capacity as the senior Senator, but we also worked as a delegation, in considering the problems that they laid before all of the Members of Congress from our area.

Would you like to have me, Senator Hatfield, go ahead and have Mr. McCracken continue with his panel discussion, or would you like to ask some questions? Mr. Netzorg has his hand up.

MR. NETZORG. May I say one word, Senator. The material which you asked us earlier for today is material that we can assemble from sources in the District of Columbia. Unfortunately, we have other commitments here, Mr. McCracken and I, that will keep us here probably until the 29th and Mr. McCracken certainly until the 30th. The problem of going through the files in Portland, therefore, becomes a mechanical problem of getting out that material and digesting it. We are happy to do that, sir.

But I don't think that we can accomplish that and have it here by the 30th, simply because we have commitments here that preclude us from access to our files in Portland.

SENATOR MORSE. Suppose we have this understanding. You may give us a memorandum based upon what you know your files in general show. If it becomes necessary in our deliberations at a later date to