

Mr. NEHMER. Senator, if I may perhaps rephrase the statement. Not all three of the criteria applied.

Senator HATFIELD. Did any one of three or any two of the three?

Mr. NEHMER. I am not just sure, sir. I think I would have to review in greater detail these statements as to which of them might have applied. The relevant point, though, is, of course, that all three need to be met and that was not the circumstance. I think that it is important to know that the task force did have available to it for its consideration the latest available Forest Service data included in the report of the Forest Service, "Timber Trends in the United States," published in 1965, based upon earlier data. That and other relevant information were certainly available to the task force.

Senator HATFIELD. I yield at this point to the chairman.

Senator MORSE. Mr. Hatfield, he is your witness. I wish you would go over with him again what is required to put the act into effect.

Mr. Secretary, it is my understanding that you need to have only one of the criteria present to put the act into effect.

Mr. NEHMER. My understanding, sir, is that all three criteria need to be present for the act to be triggered on short supply grounds.

Representative DELLENBACK. Mr. Chairman, may I make a brief comment?

Senator HATFIELD. I will yield to the Congressman.

Representative DELLENBACK. It seems to me that there is a semantic difference at this particular point.

Mr. NEHMER. Yes.

Representative DELLENBACK. The chairman is talking about the three different grounds: short supply, national security, or foreign policy. The witness is testifying to the three points that he has touched on on page 5, where the free findings are, first, that there is a domestic short supply; second, that it is caused by abnormal foreign demand; and, third, that there are resulting inflationary pressures.

Is this correct?

Mr. NEHMER. That is perfectly correct. Thank you very much, Mr. Dellenback, for that clarification.

Senator HATFIELD. Getting back to this subject, the third part of the act—

Mr. NEHMER. Short supply; yes.

Senator HATFIELD. The three criteria within the third part, and my understanding by this clarifying testimony is that all three of these must exist in order to have that third part of the act applied.

Mr. NEHMER. Yes.

Senator HATFIELD. Then I must understand that in these various analyses or these various occasions when you had this review of the situation, not one of the three—let me say not all three of these applied at any one time.

Mr. NEHMER. That is correct, sir.

Senator HATFIELD. Now, to whom did you turn besides the forestry agency? I believe I understood you to say that you required information from the forestry agency.

Did you discuss or did you make your analysis based upon any testimony or any material or data supplied by the industry itself?

Mr. NEHMER. I do not believe so. Let me just check, if I may.