

Treasury and Commerce better face up to it. If you want to build bridges with us, here is a chance to build bridges. And if you want to deepen trenches we will get in with our legislative shovels, dig them as deep as you want to deepen them. But if you think that position is going to give you any domestic tranquillity either on the political front or economic front, you couldn't be more wrong.

Now, there are some mutual responsibilities that this administration owes, may I say, to congressional delegations such as ours, trying to work with the administration, to remove from the administration the costly criticism that it is going to get in the months ahead, pretty important months to the administration, too. If we are going to resolve this log problem we will have to hear things that are much different than anything I have heard in your statement tonight.

I take you to page 8 of your statement.

Northwest forest products spokesmen, for the industry have told us that the Japanese market is closed to them; that they are unable to sell because of formal barriers imposed by the Japanese Government or at least, informal barriers of equivalent effect.

In a meeting with Japanese Government officials last month we raised this question. These Japanese officials told us without equivocation that there are no formal or informal barriers to trade with them in forest products. They stated that there are no restrictions or other barriers to imports from the United States.

Do they speak for the State Department? Do they speak for the Treasury, do they speak for Commerce? Do they speak for the Forest Service and for the Bureau of Land Management?

Why, those branches of the Government ought to be here at this hearing with their answers on whether or not there are any restrictions. If you had made a study of it, you would have brought in the restrictions, for there are many. In the record that is being made in this case, witnesses Hampton, Ford, and Jones testified in regard to the existing Japanese trade restrictions. Read them. But, why did you have to wait to read them in our hearing record. With all the appropriations we make to the State Department and the other agencies involved, why haven't you done your research on this issue? Why hasn't the paperwork been done before you witnesses get up here before this committee? This is simply a matter of finding the facts. In the informal conference that you attended earlier in the consideration of this issue, we had a former official of the Department of Commerce, Mr. Dan Goldy, talk to us. He told you about the restrictions. He laid it out.

Why in the world did you let the Japanese get by with it for when they said there are no trade restrictions? There are restrictions. And we ought to make it very clear to the Japanese that there isn't free trade between the United States and Japan because there isn't complete reciprocity. Until you get complete reciprocity you have to face up to the question of restrictions.

So I want to say, Mr. Secretary, I am terribly disappointed in this statement of yours. We were entitled to have, even if you didn't know it before you talked to the Japanese, some assurance here tonight that you intend to limit log exports in the interest of reciprocity, as well as in the interest of fairness to our own. What we already have in the record as to the trade restrictions that exist imposed upon