Part IV.—Data on U.S. college or university faculty traveling abroad on educational missions during the 1966-67 school year—Continued

B. Geographic area to which traveling 1—Continued	11
AfricaFar East	13
Europe	90
Near and Middle East	6
Oceania	2
Outside Western Hemisphere	82
PART V.—Overseas travel by students and teachers in educational egrams sponsored by U.S. colleges, universities, secondary schools, organizations in 1966-67	xchange pro- ınd nonprofit
A. Year-long enrollment in overseas universities (average 300 days):  In Western Hemisphere, number of students	500
Outside Western Hemisphere: Number of students	15,000
Average per capita overseas expenditure	
Total overseas expenditure	\$27,000,000
B. Enrollment in short-term educational exchange programs in-	<b>,</b> , ,
cluding attendance at special summer sessions, traveling	
seminars, and social service projects (average 60 days):	
In Western Hemisphere, number of participantsOutside Western Hemisphere:	
Number of participants	25,000
Average per capital overseas expenditure	\$720
Total overseas expenditure	\$18,000,000
Mr. Herlong. The next witness is Mr. Carl J. Megel. Mr. Megel, we appreciate your coming before the comfor the record will you identify yourself and whom you reproceed in your own way.	mittee and present and

## STATEMENT OF CARL J. MEGEL, DIRECTOR OF LEGISLATION, AMERICAN FEDERATION OF TEACHERS, AFL-CIO

Mr. Megel. Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman. My name is Carl J. Megel. I am the Director of Legislation of the American Federation of Teachers, a national, professional union of more than 150,000 classroom teachers, affiliated with the AFL-CIO.

Our organization embraces more than 760 teacher locals, including locals in the Department of Defense Overseas Dependents Schools, in the Department of the Interior Indian Schools, and in the Territories.

As the representative of the American Federation of Teachers, I am appearing before this committee to register our opposition to the

As the representative of the American Federation of Teachers, I am appearing before this committee to register our opposition to the proposed travel restrictions as submitted by the Secretary of the Treasury. In doing so we are not unmindful of the existing balance-of-payments deficit which the administration and the Treasury are hoping to correct. We commend them for their efforts. Unfortunately, we believe their proposal for travel restrictions is ill-advised, self-defeating, and discriminatory.

I should like to direct your attention to these three points, but in

reverse order:

First, the proposal is discriminatory. The proposal states than an individual shall be considered on a nontaxable trip if he is outside the Western Hemisphere for at least 120 consecutive days. A student or a teacher never has more than 8 to 12 weeks, at the very most, summer