

dollars to foreign countries, we would like to bring to your attention a condition which is causing substantial amounts of U.S. Government funds to flow to foreign countries.

We refer to the present tool buying policy which the Bureau of the Budget requires our Government's tool buying agency, the General Services Administration, to follow. Under this policy, only a six percent differential in favor of domestic producers is allowed in contrast to a 50 percent differential in favor of U.S. manufacturers allowed by the Department of Defense. As a result of this inconsistent and unwarranted policy, many foreign producers are, because of their low labor costs, able to obtain numerous large Government tool contracts, which otherwise would be awarded to domestic manufacturers. Due to heavy and increasing demands for tools for the Viet Nam War and the new Korean Emergency, Government procurement of hand tools is increasing substantially.

Listed below are examples of recent large hand tool awards being made by the GSA to foreign countries:

GSA invitation No.	Product	Date of award	Value of award in U.S. dollars	Awarded to—
56385	Wrenches, adjustable.....	November 1967....	\$843,750	Japanese manufacturer.
56296	Round nose and lineman's pliers.....	January 1968.....	41,640	West German manufacturer.
56191	Engineers wrenches.....	October 1967.....	80,912	Do
56346	Pliers and nippers.....	September 1967....	262,000	Italian manufacturer.
56342	Shears and scissors.....	November 1967....	334,576	Do
56343	do.....	do.....	252,590	Do.
56534	Axes and hatchets.....	February 1968....	1298,000	West German manufacturer.

¹ Approximate.

Under the circumstances outlined above, we respectfully request and urge that an immediate effort be made to have the tool buying policy of the General Services Administration changed from the present 6% buying differential in favor of domestic tool manufacturers to the 50% buying differential in favor of U.S. producers allowed by the Department of Defense.

We shall appreciate it if you will have this letter entered in the record of Hearings on the President's Balance of Payments Proposals now being conducted by the House Ways and Means Committee.

This urgent request is respectfully submitted in behalf of our member manufacturers whose names and addresses appear on the attached list.

Yours sincerely,

GEORGE P. BYRNE, Jr.,
Secretary.

LIST OF SERVICE TOOLS MANUFACTURERS

A & E Manufacturing Co., Racine, Wisc.	Cleco Division, Reed International, Inc., Houston, Tex.
Advertising Metal Display Co., Rem Line Division, Chicago, Ill.	Cornwell Quality Tools Co., Magadore, Ohio.
Apco Mossberg Company, Attleboro, Mass.	Crescent Niagara Corporation, Buffalo, N.Y.
Apex Machine & Tool Company, Dayton, Ohio.	Crescent Tool Division, Crescent Niagara Corporation, Jamestown, N.Y.
Armstrong Bros. Tool Co., Chicago, Ill.	Diamond Tool & Horseshoe Co., Duluth, Minn.
Baltimore Tool Works, Baltimore, Md.	Dowley Manufacturing, Inc., Spring Harbor, Mich.
Bergman Tool Manufacturing Co., Inc., Buffalo, N.Y.	C. Drew & Co., Inc., Kingston, Mass.
Boker Manufacturing Company, Subsidiary of New Britain Machine Co., Maplewood, N.J.	Duplex Manufacturing Corp., Fort Smith, Ark.
The Bridgeport Hardware Mfg. Div., Crescent Niagara Corporation, Bridgeport, Conn.	Duro Metal Products Co., Chicago, Ill.
C & G Wheel Puller Co., Inc., Scio, N.Y.	Fairmount Tool & Forging, Div. of Houdaille Industries, Inc., Cleveland, Ohio.
Cameron Manufacturing Corp., Emporium, Pa.	Fleet Tool Corporation, Schiller Park, Ill.
Channellock, Inc., Meadville, Pa.	