

Please give this your very serious consideration, and by all means we would urge that you vote against the tax.

Yours very truly,

Mr. and Mrs. M. R. BERBOWER.

ALHAMBRA, CALIF.,
February 19, 1968.

Mr. WILBUR D. MILLS,
Committee on Ways and Means,
House of Representatives, Washington, D.C.

DEAR MR. MILLS: We wish to go on record as being opposed to the proposed legislation regarding the travel tax of which you are the chairman. We feel it is discriminatory and unjust and will work a hardship on the average traveler.

Mr. Fowler might have good intentions, but we, and many of our friends, feel that there are many, many loopholes and are very much against this proposed legislation.

Sincerely yours,

Mr. and Mrs. L. S. McCLARY.

GLENDALE, CALIF., February 16, 1968.

Mr. WILBUR D. MILLS,
Committee on Ways and Means,
House of Representatives, Washington, D.C.

DEAR MR. MILLS: I wish to respectfully oppose the proposed legislation relating to the travel opposition as promoted by the president in his efforts to correct the balance of trade funds.

I consider it unjust and discriminatory. It touches a small comparative group and a small amount of the total problem of money spent abroad.

In my opinion, the results will prove to be exactly opposite to what should be accomplished. The impact of this kind of legislation will so antagonize foreign nations, both friendly and unfriendly, that they will curtail purchases in the United States in far greater amounts than are now being spent abroad.

I consider it opposed to our ideas of Freedom and absolutely unworkable if public opinion proves to turn in the direction it appears to be leaning.

I urge your committee to consider very carefully all the potential harm that could come from such distorted and short-sighted thinking.

Very sincerely yours,

H. PARK ARNOLD.

LONG BEACH, CALIF.,
February 23, 1968.

Mr. WILBUR D. MILLS,
Chairman, Committee on Ways and Means,
House of Representatives, Washington, D.C.:

We are opposed—

because the proposed legislature is discriminatory and unjust and well may be unconstitutional,

because it is unenforceable, unworkable and leaves too many loopholes,

because it is against the American principle of free and unrestricted movement.

because it is a short-sighted expedient which will not solve any problems but rather create more serious problems in future,

because Americans are in jeopardy and Mr. Fowler's proposals are, in our opinion, a confession of failure and therefore a failure of the Treasury Department to cope with the imbalance of payments problem in a constructive manner.

JUSTIN N. CARTER,
Registered Voter.
MARGARET E. CARTER,
Registered Voter.

SEATTLE, WASH.,
February 26, 1968.

Mr. JOHN M. MARTIN, JR.,
Chief Counsel, Committee on Ways and Means, Longworth House Office Building,
Washington, D.C.

DEAR MR. MARTIN: My husband and I wish to be on record as being against the proposed travel tax program requested by President Johnson. An editorial