

terioration sets in in the ability to track and maneuver a vehicle, attention span, and these kinds of things, so they are a little different—both very dangerous.

Mr. KUYKENDALL. Will the gentleman yield?

Mr. HARVEY. Yes.

Mr. KUYKENDALL. I think it is very important that we bring out here one thing that should be clarified about this letter of apology from the United Press. This is kind of a left-handed apology because in the same letter, and I would like to read from this letter, and this is quoting the reporter on the scene, it says:

Mr. Cohn then asked whether you would mind if your daughter smoked marijuana any more than if she drank a cocktail and you replied no except in the context of the present law.

Now, this left a very clear implication that if it was not against the law it would be all right. This is in the letter of apology.

On the second page of this letter of apology, which is the strangest letter of apology I have seen, it says:

Would you mind if your daughter took marijuana?

Answer: We have talked about it at home. I would in terms of the law today. We really don't know what the long-term effects are. He never answered his daughter yes or no in either case.

There is something I want to question here as to whether or not it was made absolutely clear in this apology. I don't think it was.

Another point, here is a newspaper that didn't apologize, Mr. Chairman, the New York Times, and they questioned:

He did not favor legalizing the drug but favored the removal of penalties for simple possession.

I have approved fully of the statement you have made here this morning. I wish you would have made it in Minneapolis and stuck with it, but I think it was a bad day in Minneapolis because I think you made yourself absolutely clear.

I don't think all these reporters—I don't think everybody that heard this was completely off their rocker in being misled so easily. I don't have any doubt about what your intent was but, sir, what I want to question you about is this: Don't you feel like you are responsible for your speculations in public as much as the actual scientific meaning?

Dr. GODDARD. Yes, sir.

The CHAIRMAN. Would you answer the question?

Dr. GODDARD. The answer to that last question is "Yes." However, I would like to add that, as opposed to the letter from the United Press International, the report contained in the pink sheet of October 23 where this particular publication called Victor Cohn, the reporter who was present, a veteran science reporter, and Mr. Cohn made this point in his story:

Goddard stated two reservations. The first was that we don't know what its long-term effects are. For example, we don't know whether or not it may alter the chromosomes as LSD may do. I would not want young women who have not been married and children yet unborn to be affected.

Goddard's second reservation continued that marijuana distorts perception of reality so that it is dangerous if you are driving a vehicle or operating heavy equipment.

Now, this was in response to the same question about my daughter and drinking and may I say I don't view my family as setting the