

we are bound to have many mistakes. If we were 99 percent perfect, we still have 150,000 errors a year—just 1 percent of 15 million.

But we want to do the best possible job. We are all devoted to this. Our 25,000 procurement professional people are. We regret that generalizations sometimes are made without specifics that we can act upon.

Mr. RUMSFELD. Senator Proxmire discussed the question of control over Government-owned property that is in the possession of contractors and used by them. The Comptroller General indicated that the total value of such property is unknown, but your DOD data shows at least three classes of such which might total \$11 billion in value.

The GAO offered a guess that if you add in other classes, the figure might be \$4 billion larger, or a total of \$15 billion. Is that your best guess, also?

\$14.8 BILLION IN GOVERNMENT PROPERTY IN HANDS OF CONTRACTORS

Mr. MORRIS. I have an itemization of \$14.8 billion, if you include everything, including special test equipment; yes, sir.

Mr. RUMSFELD. How many classes are there? The \$11 billion figure apparently derives from two classes.

Mr. MORRIS. Let me break it down, if I may, sir. The categories are, first, real property, with a value of about \$2.6 billion. Industrial plant equipment, with a value of \$4.3 billion. Material in the hands of contractors, another \$4.7 billion. And, special tooling and test equipment, which is an estimated figure, of \$2.9 billion. It should total \$14.9 billion. I rounded it as I gave you the figures.

Mr. RUMSFELD. It does not look like it comes to \$14.9. It seems too low.

Mr. CURTIS. It is \$11.9 billion. We are missing \$2 billion.

Mr. MORRIS. \$2.6, \$4.3, \$4.7 billion—and I gave you \$2.9 billion—the last one really should be rounded to \$3 billion.

Mr. CURTIS. What was the material—\$4.7 billion?

Mr. MORRIS. That is right, sir.

Mr. CURTIS. I misunderstood.

Mr. RUMSFELD. This circular or regulation which you said was hot off the presses—is there any reason why the members of the committee cannot see that?

Mr. MORRIS. We would be glad to give each of you a copy right now, sir.

Chairman PROXMIRE. That is an excellent idea. We would like to put that in the record, along with—well, there are two regulations that we have talked about in connection with the Truth in Negotiations Act.

Mr. MORRIS. It is all in one package, sir.

Chairman PROXMIRE. This will be put in the record.

(The document referred to follows:)