

Electric or General Motors, and the list would be extremely large. Procurement agencies in all three military departments and DSA, who have the responsibility for maintaining the records, would have to be surveyed to acquire the data, which would take several weeks to accomplish. The following examples of active IPE in major contractor's plants indicate the magnitude of the problem, but show that records are in fact maintained.

Company	Number of items	Value	Number of locations
Aerojet General.....	3,583	\$45,628,738	12
Aerospace Corp.....	1,438	6,976,060	3
Boeing Co.....	3,232	36,403,822	18
Curtiss-Wright.....	2,103	35,733,313	6
Hughes Aircraft.....	5,031	23,153,820	11
Ling-Temco-Vought.....	2,923	41,823,449	6
Northrop Aircraft.....	2,471	23,900,585	16
Thiokol Chemical Corp.....	2,212	23,172,595	6
T-R-W, Inc.....	3,215	58,408,383	13
United Aircraft.....	2,080	35,860,094	8
Total.....	28,288	331,051,859	99

(See also app. 4(a) and 4(b), pp. 411, 463.)

Mrs. GRIFFITHS. Might take quite a long time. Since you do not have any records now.

Mr. MORRIS. We have records. I can assure you.

Mrs. GRIFFITHS. Easily available?

Mr. MORRIS. We know where the industrial plant equipment is. This is one of the responsibilities of each department, and of the Defense Industrial Production Equipment Center.

(On Jan. 15, 1968, the Department of Defense supplied the additional material below:)

ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF DEFENSE,
Washington, D.C., January 15, 1968.

HON. WILLIAM PROXMIRE,
Chairman, Joint Economic Committee, Congress of the United States, Washington, D.C.

DEAR MR. CHAIRMAN: This is in reply to two letters received from you dated 28 December 1967 wherein you requested a revised listing of Government-owned equipment located in individual contractor's plants, and other information.

On Friday, 12 January 1968, a copy of a tabulation was hand carried to Mr. Ray Ward showing, by contractor, the dollar value of Government equipment furnished by each of the military services as of 30 November 1967. Similar data for the end of fiscal years 1964, 1965, 1966 and 1967 are being prepared and will be forwarded to you by the end of January 1968. In addition, according to your request, we are preparing a further breakdown showing the value of Government-owned Industrial Plant Equipment at each of the foregoing dates, which the Department of Defense as a whole had furnished to various classes of industry. This summary will also be furnished to your committee by the end of January 1968.

In response to your query as to the method used to ascertain the value of other plant equipment in contractor's plants, I would like to iterate that financial reports of the value of this equipment are not centrally recorded, but are maintained by property administrators at the field level. However, each year a summary report is requested for a report to the Congress of real and personal property. It was from this summary report that the \$2.0 billion other plant equipment value was obtained. As I mentioned in my letter of 21 December 1967, a special report of this equipment as of 31 December 1967 will be available during February 1968. I will forward such detail to you then if it is still desired.