

uses. The efforts to expand to other areas is fruitless in that there is simply not that much property to go around.

Chairman PROXMIRE. Well, it sounded as if most of the disposal of property was to nonprofit—as I read your report—was to these nonprofit sources from which there was no return.

Mr. KNOTT. Yes, sir; that is right.

Senator PROXMIRE. How much has there been donated to non-tax-supported donees since your act was passed in 1949? Do you have any figures on that?

Mr. KNOTT. I do not think I have any summaries regarding donations as between tax-supported and non-tax-supported levels.

But, it is a figure that we could compile and furnish. We would like to do it.

(The following was later furnished for the record:)

Donations of Real and Personal Property F.Y. 1958–F.Y. 1967 inclusive.
(Records are not available prior to F.Y. 1958.)

Real property:

Numbered: 1,470

Acquisition cost: \$960,000,000

Personal property:

Acquisition cost: \$3,660,000,000

Records are not available from which to determine donations between “tax-supported” and “non-tax-supported” institutions.

Chairman PROXMIRE. Does that take us up to real property?

EXCESS REAL PROPERTY

Mr. KNOTT. Yes, sir. As I indicated in my last report to the committee, I had hoped that the recently revised Bureau of the Budget Circular A-2 of April 5, 1967, with its new provisions, requiring the holding agencies to make a detailed annual report of their real property to the BOB, would give added impetus to the identification and reporting of excess real property not required to meet program objectives. (Text of Circular A-2 appears in hearings, 1967, pt. 1, p. 234.)

Although the expected increase in the reporting of excess real property has not yet materialized, it is still too early to make a judgment on the effectiveness of the circular. The first reports from the holding agencies to the BOB are only now being submitted under the revised Circular A-2, and the review and critique of those reports by the BOB may serve to further the excess program.

We continue to provide for the further Federal utilization of real property wherever feasible. During fiscal year 1967, 59 excess real properties were transferred to other Federal agencies for continued use. An additional 18 properties have been transferred to other Federal agencies during the period from July 1 to October 31 of this fiscal year.

Exchanges of excess property for other privately owned lands needed by Federal agencies are fostered by GSA in an effort to reduce the amount of appropriated funds needed for new acquisition. Nine such exchanges were accomplished during fiscal year 1967.

Chairman PROXMIRE. What did this amount to in terms of dollars, roughly?