

The editorials ordered to be printed in the Record are as follows:

"[From the Los Angeles Times, Dec. 22, 1966]

"SOUND PROPOSAL ON CONSERVATION

"Spiraling land costs, property speculation and inadequate funds are jeopardizing the federal conservation effort.

"To remedy the situation Sen. Thomas H. Kuchel (R-Calif.) will introduce legislation in the 90th Congress to earmark oil revenues from the outer continental shelf for improvement of the program.

"The unallocated portion of such revenues average \$90 million a year, according to figures supplied to the senator, and have run as high as \$336 million in a single year.

"Although Congress has authorized scores of parks in recent years, the fund it created to finance their acquisition and development has been too meager to achieve the congressional goals.

"As an example of the problem, Kuchel cites the Point Reyes National Seashore. The 1962 act establishing that project allocated \$14 million. This year Congress boosted the appropriation by \$5 million, but it has already been put on notice that the final cost may run in excess of \$55 million.

"California has a particular stake in the matter.

"We gain 1,500 new residents every 24 hours. By the turn of the century our population is expected to be 50 million, more than double what it is at present. Action must be taken now to make certain that adequate parks, recreational areas, seashores and wilderness areas are provided so as to keep peace within the huge influx.

"Significantly, the legislation Kuchel proposes embraces a field in which California has pioneered. More than 20 years ago the state realized the wisdom of utilizing revenues from one natural resource—tideland oil—to preserve and enhance other natural resources. Until recent years the bulk of the tideland oil money was used to finance beach and park acquisitions.

"Continental shelf revenues, which now pour into the Treasury for general use should likewise be set aside for conservation purposes. Since the program has worked well in California, Congress would be well advised to follow the state's lead in the best interests of all the people."

"[From the Sacramento Bee, Jan. 11, 1967]

"KUCHEL MOVE TO SAVE CONSERVATION PROGRAM

"As the United States grows under the impact of World War II babies, or of the population explosion as some like to call it, there grows side by side the need for more living space and more room and facilities for play and relaxation.

"This is particularly true when one puts into the equation the technological explosion and its inevitable bequest of more leisure time.

"In this context the proposal of U.S. Sen. Thomas Kuchel of California for financing additional recreation and park facilities from federal tideland oil revenues take on special relevancy and urgency.

"Unallocated funds from the outer continental shelf have averaged \$90 million a year and have run as high as \$336 million in a single year.

"Kuchel properly has perceived that as the number of Americans increases, real estate will become more expensive. It is therefore his entirely logical deduction that the federal government should gain control of recreational land before increasing costs whirl it out of reach.

"He said:

"Spiraling land costs, real estate speculation and inadequate funds have combined to endanger the entire congressional conservation program."

"Kuchel has proposed to nail down a sure, continuing flow of funds. Delay could be fatal. Congress here has a duty to act while the funds are unallocated. The need will never diminish."

The CHAIRMAN. Senator Church also has a statement.