

Currently, as the President mentioned in his recent budget message, the administration is supporting a Redwood National Park, the most costly in our history; North Cascades National Park, the least costly; Apostle Islands National Lakeshore, and systems of scenic rivers and trails.

Our minimum estimate is that established and recently authorized areas of the national park system need about \$318 million for acquisition. New areas which the administration is supporting before the Congress would need an additional \$160 million. This is a total of \$478 million, or \$100 million more than would be available to the National Park Service under the third alternative.

The details of the alternative 3 program outlined to you have been developed on a year-by-year basis for each of the next 5 years down to and including the individual units of the National Park Service. These are available for the committee's files but I would urge that they not appear in the record because the result in some instances would be to further skyrocket land prices.

A summary of the proposed program by agency and years is attached to my testimony. Similar breakdowns are available for each of the other two alternatives.

Of course, these estimates are flexible and subject to adjustment depending upon both legislative and appropriation actions of Congress.

Any one of the three alternative levels would help substantially, and even the smallest would result in roughly doubling prospective levels to the fund by providing about \$100 million a year from Outer Continental Shelf receipts.

We hope, incidentally, that the organizations represented here today and the members of this committee will help us get appropriations so that we can amend the law in the Appropriations Committee.

During the past 5 years, receipts from the Outer Continental Shelf lands have averaged \$265 million annually. They are forecast for the next 5 years to average about \$500 million a year.

I should acquaint the committee with some of the decisions we have made with regard to the shelf. We have a new sale that is moving forward right now off the California coast in the Santa Barbara area. We had a very successful sale of the Louisiana-Texas gulf coast last June, the most successful sale ever. We just put out notice of nominations last week on the Alaska Outer Continental Shelf; that will begin and be consummated in the next 18 months to 2 years. So, there is going to be substantially more activity.

Thus, the placing into the fund an average of about \$100 million a year—

Senator KUCHEL. What is that?

Secretary UDALL. \$100 million we are proposing, which we foresee for the next year and beyond. This amount is roughly the difference between the proposed \$200 million annual level and annual receipts from existing authorized sources.

Thus, the placing into the fund an average of about \$100 million a year would take about 20 percent of the prospective income from the Outer Continental Shelf receipts which now go to the miscellaneous receipts of the Treasury. It would not be necessary under this