

So, I am wary of now beginning to move this formula back in the direction of the Federal program. Once we start moving in that direction we may find that the Federal share will grow and grow, and the State share will begin to diminish. This would defeat the real objective of the program and I think that would be very unfortunate.

I don't want to overstate this, but this is the basis of my concern for tampering with the formula.

Secretary UDALL. It is a matter of striking the balance. Of course, the committee will have to exercise its judgment on this issue.

The CHAIRMAN. The first thing we will have to decide is how much money will be made available in the fund. Once we have made that decision, then we can work out, I hope and trust, a logical split, because we will better know how much we can make available to the State and how much to the Federal Government.

This is a matter which has to be resolved, because the official departmental position is to limit the overall fund to an input of \$200 million. Now, the pending measure, of course, goes well beyond that. It would be well over \$400 million or more than double.

I think the point Senator Church has raised is an important one which we will have to pursue in relation to the decision that we make ultimately in this committee as to the total amount that we would want to put into the fund each year from the various sources authorized by the pending measure.

Senator Hatfield.

Senator HATFIELD. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Mr. Secretary, I would like to compliment you on your testimony as the other committee members have done. I think this is the first official opportunity that I, as one of the committee, have had to publicly commend you on the restoration of Ford's theater. As a member of the subcommittee which was involved with you in the area of preservation of historical sites, I think this is a remarkable and outstanding achievement.

I especially would like to commend you on not just restoring an empty building but in developing a performing theater in conjunction with the restoration.

From your testimony, I assume that the evidence which you have presented this committee indicates that the need is far greater than that which you are recommending, that you would not disagree with our chairman in his analysis but rather it is a matter of priority at this time and the availability of funds. Is this correct?

Secretary UDALL. This is a pretty good way of stating it, Senator.

Senator HATFIELD. Could I assume that if we had some of that \$25 billion that the President is now recommending for Vietnam and for the war, to spend on such matters as this, that it would be certainly to your happiness and joy, too?

Secretary UDALL. Well, we are trying to do the best we can in our sector, Senator.

Senator HATFIELD. I understand that. The point is that it is primarily because of the demands of such things as the war that makes it impossible for you to suggest a greater amount for this purpose?

Secretary UDALL. This is the amount that we were able to get in a very stringent budget year and I think we are fortunate to get this. I would prefer to put it that way.