

I do not address this letter to the 50/50 question or the 60/40 question as such, on what the distribution of funds should be. Leaning on the testimony of our Dr. MacMullan yesterday, I think we looked to a nationwide plan that is put out by the Bureau of Outdoor Recreation this year. It should certainly show the relative balance between Federal and State efforts. We would hope that this is based upon attainable standards, both for buying Federal and for buying State or local properties, so that we can get a better fix on what the distribution formula should be between the Federal and State and local sector.

I thank you for this opportunity to be here and to commend the committee, particularly the chairman, the sponsor of the bill, for taking these next steps toward the stewardship of our resources. Certainly by your action we can answer in part the problem of the past of being there with too little, too late. I think we do need your support and all the money that can possibly be mustered.

I appreciate this opportunity to appear on behalf of the State of Washington.

The CHAIRMAN. Thank you, Mr. Hendrickson, for a very fine statement. And please express our appreciation to Mr. Lewis Bell, from Everett, Wash., who is chairman of the Interagency Committee, and Gov. Dan Evans for their support of the proposed legislation.

Any questions?

Senator ANDERSON. Are you recommending that all of the Continental Shelf revenues—\$400 million a year—be used in the fund?

Mr. HENDRICKSON. We support the bill wholeheartedly.

Senator ANDERSON. You said in the next 5 years there would be a need of how much?

The CHAIRMAN. Senator Anderson, the way the bill is drafted, revenues could run over a period of 5 years, could amount to as much as \$3 billion. That amount includes receipts under the Mineral Leasing Act, as well as the national forestry receipts, as well as the Outer Shelf revenues. However, as you know, the executive departments have indicated their opposition to the inclusion of the Mineral Leasing Act and Forest Service receipts. They limit it to a \$200 million fund, overall.

Senator ANDERSON. You recommended \$400 million a year for 5 years?

Mr. HENDRICKSON. Yes, Senator Anderson. We do feel that \$200 million would be a mere beginning for satisfying the needs. But we could spend considerably more than that in the State of Washington alone.

Senator ANDERSON. Everybody could do so in his own State. How would you balance it off?

I think saying that the Continental Shelf can provide so much a year is questionable. You would have a long battle over it.

Have you ever formed an opinion as to how much would be too much?

Mr. HENDRICKSON. The needs in our State presently stand at \$600 million based on the identified need in the immediate years. What fraction of that should come out of the Federal sector, or State, or local, is an open question.