I submit that the nationwide plan, which the Bureau of Outdoor Recreation has a responsibility to prepare, should give some answers on how much of the responsibility is Federal, how much is State, and how much is local.

I believe that in the State of Washington we are operating considerably above the level of Federal funding; at this time, for the 6-year period there are only earmarked \$5 million of Federal funds to the

State under the present formula.

Under Senate bill 1401 this amount might increase by perhaps 50 percent. In the State and locally, we far exceed this. For example, Forward Thrust in Seattle, King County, next week is voting on \$118 million on the immediate county just around Seattle.

Senator Anderson. You say you would like to see the Congress set the level at \$400 million annually for the next 5 years—\$2 billion? How far away from the administration recommendation is that?

Mr. Hendrickson. This figure would roughly be double the present

recommendations of the administration.

Senator Anderson. It would be \$2 billion in one State alone? Mr. Hendrickson. No; the whole country. Excuse me, Senator.

The CHAIRMAN. Under the existing law the ratio for the first 5 years to the States is to be 60 percent. For the first 5 years it is 60/40, and they can adjust it up to 15 percent either way. It has been running

—the testimony yesterday was 61/39.

I think it would be well to point out here that the State of Washington passed a bond issue, isn't that correct, in the referendum in 1966, making \$40 million available for parks and recreation. Of course, only a small portion of this amount will be used for matching Federal funds. The rest of it will represent expenditures on the part of the citizens of the State of Washington for park purposes generally, without aid from the Federal Government.

Mr. Hendrickson. That is correct, Senator. So far we have had a portion, exceeding \$3 million, of the land and water conservation funds, whereas we have projects of \$14½ million. So many of them have gone unassisted, without Federal aid, at this time.

The CHAIRMAN. Are there any further questions?

Senator Hansen. I have a couple.

I understand that in addition to what has already been done—you refer to the \$40 million bond issue passed a year or two ago—Governor Dan Evans now proposes in his 1967 message to the legislature that \$50 million—are you talking about the response to that request that \$40 million was passed?

The Chairman. That is right; but in additional funds—what was

the action on that?

Mr. Hendrickson. The legislature last year put on this year's ballot the extra \$40 million, which we vote on this year.

Senator Hansen. It was in response to the Governor's message for

\$50 million that the \$40 million resulted?

The CHAIRMAN. Under our law such a matter must be referred to the people for a vote. So it will come up as a referendum.

Mr. Hendrickson. The preceding referendum to which you refer

passed by about a 7-to-3 vote a few years back.

The CHAIRMAN. This will make a total of \$80 million if the referendum which has been referred to the people is approved.