

them. This is wise, because there is no point in having two mineral-managing agencies. There are strong arguments on both sides—I think we ought to be candid about that—with regard to the entire Forest convictions almost overlap completely. I've never been too frank here—Departments much closer together.

The same with recreation. We said yesterday that one of the reasons we thought the Flaming Gorge recreation area ought to be administered by one department is this simple little thing about whether you had to pay to launch your boat or not. People went up there to go on the lake, and if they put their boat in at one place, it did not cost them anything; if they put it in just a little ways down the other way, it cost them. They would have to pay to have the boat launched, and that is because we had two Departments with different regulations, functioning on the same lake.

Little things like that cause all kinds of trouble out in the field.

JURISDICTIONAL ARGUMENTS PROVIDE BASIS FOR REORGANIZATION

Secretary UDALL. Well Senator, I have often thought that some of the people who carry on the warfare do not realize that they are the best advocates of the kind of transfer you are proposing. By their very a rather good job. At least we are getting jurisdictional views ahead of harmony, and I think we have done more constructive things than any two Secretaries.

EMOTIONAL ATTACHMENT OF INDIANS TO INTERIOR DEPARTMENT

Senator Moss. I would agree with that, and, of course, I am aware of a lot of the emotional conflict that there has been on the Forest