

powers and authorities and responsibilities as set forth in the Department of Natural Resources which encompassed the whole scope of the Water Resources Council's area of oversight. I think it is quite clearly so.

Senator Moss. Well, I appreciate your talents very much, and, I think again, the function of these hearings and one reason they are good is to have pointed out the problems that we face. Obviously, you just cannot walk in and do this with a sweep of a bill or something of the sort. There are many intricacies to be worked out, and you have pointed out those for us, although, and I am happy that you think, the idea is pretty good.

Mr. HUGHES. Those are your words, Senator; not mine.

Senator RIBICOFF. One question, one final question.

It becomes very obvious that Secretary Udall devoutly desires to have the name of his Department changed from the Department of the Interior to the Department of Natural Resources. Do you object to changing the name?

DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES

135

program areas—water resources and land use in multiple-purpose development of these primary resources.

In the fiscal year 1966 Federal expenditures in these areas were well over \$3 billion. These expenditures were distributed among the various resource programs, as follows:

	Millions
Land and water resources.....	\$2, 235
Forest resources.....	406
Recreational resources.....	152
Fish and wildlife resources.....	130
Mineral resources.....	108
General resource surveys and administration.....	89
Total	3, 120

A table from the budget for the fiscal year 1968 is attached to my statement for the hearing record. That table gives a breakdown of expenditures by agency, and also shows how the major functions are distributed among Federal agencies.