The presumption would have to be if they can limit contract authority under identical statutory language for one program, they cer-

tainly can do it for another; yes, sir.

Mr. Cramer. So we are getting into the realm of affecting the continuity of the program, and without continuity the job cannot be done, with the long lagtime involved, either in safety or construction; is that true?

Mr. Bridwell. That is my opinion.

Mr. Cramer. Now you have \$19 million. How are you going to apportion this \$19 million, having already advised the States as to what you thought their apportionment was going to be? Is it going to be on a first-come, first-served basis, or will you spread the reduction proportionately among the States?

Mr. Bridwell. It will have to be spread proportionately among

Mr. Bridwell. It will have to be spread proportionately among the States, at the same ratio as the apportionments were made.

Mr. Cramer. If that is the case

Mr. CLEVELAND. Would the gentleman yield?

Mr. Cramer. Instead of getting \$2.1 million, Florida might get a half million or less?

Mr. Bridwell. Mr. Cramer, I would be glad to supply for the record the amount that each State would receive on its proportionate ratio of the \$19 million.

(The material referred to follows:)

Limiting			Limiting
State	amount available	State	amount available
Alabama	\$371,564	Nevada	\$48, 241
Alaska	24, 661	New Hampshire	62, 151
Arizona	162, 178	New Jersey	601, 199
Arkansas	203,723	New Mexico	114, 032
California	1, 806, 999	New York	1, 590, 454
Colorado	192, 153	North Carolina	515, 194
Connecticut	247, 444	North Dakota	64,351
DelawareL	46, 976	Ohio	970, 877
Florida	580, 695	Oklahoma	249, 519
Georgia	452, 309	Oregon	204, 387
Hawaii	60, 327	Pennsylvania	1,060,592
Idaho	72, 127	Rhode Island	75, 516
Illinois	995, 976	South Carolina	266, 816
Indiana	502, 348	South Dakota	73, 187
Iowa	277, 790	Tennessee	389, 054
Kansas		Texas	1, 065, 056
Kentucky	313, 678	Utah	99, 549
Louisiana	361, 450	Vermont	41, 852
Maine	91, 913	Virginia	420, 509
Maryland	327, 717	Washington	293, 486
Massachusetts	476, 331	West Virginia	178, 316
Michigan	821, 007	Wisconsin	400, 979
Minnesota	346, 015	Wyoming	36, 385
Mississippi	236, 397	District of Columbia	71, 607
Missouri	455, 227	Puerto Rico	232, 662
Montana	77, 164		
Nebraska	142, 690	Total	19 000 000
	± 12, 000 ·	Total	10, 000, 000

Mr. CLEVELAND. Would the gentleman yield?

Mr. Cramer. Yes.

Mr. CLEVELAND. I want to be sure I correctly understand the witness. Are you saying that, assuming only \$20 million is available, that you are going to apportion that \$20 million among the States on a pro