Mr. Sisk. Dr. Horsky, this may be somewhat out of order, but I would just like to have your comments on this. It is my understanding you are President of the Board of Higher Education of the District of Columbia established under P.L. 89-791. Now I am sure you have made some study of this situation and of course your Board in a sense is going to be controlling here. Are you satisfied that the language in the present legislation has ample provision to make this possible so there would be no legal barriers or no questions raised if subsequently court action were taken?

Mr. Horsky. On that question, Mr. Chairman, I have no doubt whatever; there is no question about the fact that there is ample authority to enter into the cooperative programs at the Washington Technical

Institute which Dr. Farner has mentioned.

I should, perhaps, add by way of further satisfying you and Mr. Nelsen as to the procedures of the boards of the two schools. The Board of Higher Education for the College, and the Board of Vocational Education for the Institute, have themselves met in cooperative meetings and are determined that this kind of cooperative arrangement under the land grant procedures will be worked out. The Boards are thoroughly in accord with Dr. Farner's intention to use to the limit the facilities of the Institute wherever they are appropriate for carrying out the purpose of this legislation and we will do so.

Mr. Sisk. Thank you, Dr. Horsky. We have some other members who have come in. And if you want to remain, Dr. Horsky, it might be that there will be a few more questions. My colleague from New Mexico,

Mr. Walker, do you have any questions?

I might say we have Dr. Farner, who is President of the Federal City College, Dr. Wiegman and Mr. Horsky.

Mr. Walker. I have no questions at this time, Mr. Chairman.

Mr. Sisk. The gentleman from Ohio—before we dismiss these witnesses who, I think, we have pretty well completed, does the gentleman from Ohio have any questions?

Mr. Harsha. I have a number of them. I want to review them first.

They may have answered some of them. I will wait.

Mr. Sisk. Well, we would hope to conclude this hearing this morning. This is the point I might say to my good friend.

Mr. Harsha. What is the rush?

Mr. Sisk. Well, I think there is rush, there is some desire to go ahead and move the legislation. And I am not trying to rush my good friend. I realize he got here a bit late. Their statements are before you and we would like to go ahead, if there are questions to be asked. I was expecting to dismiss these witnesses and we would not expect to have them back before us again. Of course, if there are other witnesses, we will continue this for whatever period of time we need. That is what I had in mind.

Mr. Walker. Chairman, while we are waiting, before I arrived, was anyone asked the question, or has anything been said about what I saw in the paper, namely that the Federal City College would select students for admission by lottery. Has this been touched on?

Mr. Sisk. Well, I might say to my friend from New Mexico we had not gone into any of the general questions regarding the Institution and the setup of the Institution. We had so far concentrated on this matter of the financing, that new financing would be made available