

Mr. ROUSH. It seems to me that there is no question but that the two penalties—that is, for possession of marihuana and for possession of LSD, are inconsistent. However, I suppose it is a matter of personal opinion as to whether the penalty for possession of marihuana is too heavy and the one for LSD is too light, since in fact, there is not a penalty at all in that instance.

I believe the members and staff of the subcommittee questioned you at some length last year as to whether the lack of any penalty for personal possession under the Drug Abuse Control Act would be a serious hindrance in enforcing the act. I believe you stated that you did not think so.

Dr. GODDARD. That is correct.

Mr. ROUSH. On the basis of your experience since that time, have you found this to be a problem?

Dr. GODDARD. No, Mr. Chairman, we have not. We have concentrated our efforts, and I think successfully so, in terms of the number of cases we make on those who sell, produce, and distribute the hallucinogens, amphetamines, and barbiturates. We would like to offer for you a record of our accomplishments in our Bureau of Drug Abuse Control, comparing them to our position last September, the number of cases, the number of agents in the field, the number of million of dosage units seized, and so forth.

Mr. ROUSH. Is this a report you have previously compiled or is this a statement you are making to present to the committee?

Dr. GODDARD. A report we compiled for hearings before this committee last September. We have updated it today, showing in the comparison between last September and this year where we have reached what accomplishments we have made in the drug abuse control field.

But to answer your question specifically, we do not think it has been a handicap to not have a criminal penalty for personal possession.

Mr. ROUSH. The committee would like to receive that report, Dr. Goddard.

(The material referred to follows:)

CUMULATIVE SUMMARY OF BDAC ACCOMPLISHMENTS SINCE SEPTEMBER 1966

TRAINING

	September 1966	Present
Number agents trained, basic.....	1 147	261
Number agents trained, advanced.....	0	122
Number agents trained, specialized.....	0	30
Number of State and local classes and participants (7).....	0	301
Number of field office seminars and participants (157).....	0	11, 956

¹ University of California.

RESEARCH

	September 1966	Present
Contracts (\$300,000). (See examples.).....	1	¹ 11
Combination drugs reviewed.....	0	5, 000
Combination controlled.....	0	750
Total drugs controlled.....		1, 600

See footnotes at end of table.