Mr. CLARK. Well, I think-

Mr. Edwards. I might say this, it is my recollection that Mr. Hughes from the Bureau of the Budget, if I am not mistaken, said there was no economy, it was not being presented to us on that basis.

Mr. Clark. It is not being presented to you on that basis. There is economy. That doesn't mean we won't spend more money. It means it

will be better spent.

Mr. Edwards. Won't you agree these will be efficiency, but perhaps

not economy?

Mr. Clark. Well, I would say that there will be both efficiency and economy. I think if you have got a field office in a city in one building and it is manned by three agents of BDAC and in the same city you have seven Narcotics agents, and they have got to have—these are field men, you know, these are caseworkers, street men, by and large—just everything about it tells you there will be substantial direct economic savings.

They won't have to have the same number of secretaries back there, because it doesn't take as many. You could pool their efforts. In terms

of how they spend their time, the economies will be immense.

They won't both meet at the same door with search warrants, one looking for LSD and one looking for marihuana, after having worked the case for a month. It will take only one man coming to the same door with a single search warrant. There won't be two of them going to look at a manufacturer's records to see what he is doing.

There won't be two of them going out to train over a week's period of time the law enforcement personnel of the State of Alabama. There

will be one there teaching about both kinds of drugs.

You have got 300 men in each office now. You have got two headquarters. You know when you merge those two, there are bound to be economies. I think there are substantial economies all of the way through. I think it is important we turn those economies to more resources put to a higher and better use and that is what this plan does.

Mr. Edwards. I don't think anyone on this committee would disagree with that. Again that goes to the need to coordinate, bring together the two agencies, and does not go to the question of putting them in the Justice Department. There is no argument that this is necessary to bring the two agencies together.

One final question. You point out that presently you have Narcotics agents and BDAC agents calling on the same businessman. Now, in your opinion, you will have one Justice Department agent calling

on that same businessman?

Mr. Clark. He will be an agent of the Bureau of Narcotics and Dangerous Drugs Abuse.

Mr. Edwards. In the Justice Department?

Mr. CLARK. That is where he will be, but I haven't heard FBI agents

called Justice Department agents recently.

Mr. Edwards. In addition to that, the FDA people will still have necessity to be calling on many of these same people, will they not?

Mr. Clark. They will be calling on a lot more than we will be calling on. The Dangerous Drugs are only a very small part of the drug business in the United States.