

I think that quote is taken from the Department of Transportation Act, or it is close to the wording of the act.

Mr. HUGHES. We can furnish something for the record. Much of the discussion thus far this morning is directed toward this point.

Mr. WOOD. I would only indicate on that, just to summarize what I think the questions have been, that the comprehensive planning responsibility and the development and certification as to the adequacy of transportation plan in general is one aspect of the role; second is the review and commentary in terms of important individual projects; third is the development of the criteria to assure us that the planning is not simply blue sky but it has effect in the decisionmaking process. It is clear that in one way or the other we will have to improve our planning capability over its present strength and orientation, but that I think is the major resource we look to at the present time.

Mr. ERLBORN. Secondly, when and how will the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development determine that given transportation projects "concern the relationship of urban transportation systems to the comprehensively planned development of urban areas"?

I think that quote is taken from the plan itself.

Mr. HUGHES. I guess I have a little trouble in my own mind separating that from the prior question, Mr. Erlborn.

M. ERLBORN. I think they are certainly related. I think it probably has been answered in response to my first question, as to whether there is veto power over an individual application for transportation grant. An application would have to be reviewed and would have to be based upon a comprehensive plan that would have to be approved.

Mr. HUGHES. It would have to conform to criteria for which the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development and the Department of Transportation share responsibility.

Mr. ERLBORN. The suggestion has been made that you expand upon the answers to these questions in written form, and it might be helpful if you send it directly to Senator Javits and also for the record.

(The answers to questions posed by Senator Javits follow:)

ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS POSED BY SENATOR JAVITS

Question 1. "What role will be reserved to the Department of Housing and Urban Development, so that it will be enabled "* * * to assure that urban transportation develops as an integral component of the broader development of growing urban areas'?"

Answer: The two Departments have agreed on several principles and procedures which will assure an effective role for HUD:

(a) The Federal responsibility for assisting and guiding areawide comprehensive planning (including comprehensive transportation planning) by local communities resides in HUD. Criteria for urban transportation system planning is to be developed jointly by HUD and DOT.

(b) HUD will advise DOT whether there is a program for a unified urban transportation system as part of the comprehensively planned development of the area. This would include the adequacy of the planning process. The HUD advice would be a prerequisite for DOT making the findings required under sections 3(c), 4(a), and 5 of the Urban Mass Transportation Act and the findings required under section 134, title 23, of the Highway Act of 1962.

(c) DOT has the responsibility for determining whether individual projects are needed for carrying out a unified urban transportation system as part of the comprehensively planned development of the urban area. However, the memorandum of understanding now being developed by the two